

Biogeochemistry **35**: 141–180,1996. © 1996 Kluwer Academic Publishers. Printed in the Netherlands.

## The fate of nitrogen and phosphorus at the land-sea margin of the North Atlantic Ocean

## S.W. NIXON<sup>1</sup>, J.W. AMMERMAN<sup>2</sup>, L.P. ATKINSON<sup>3</sup>, V.M. BEROUNSKY', G. BILLEN<sup>4</sup>, W.C. BOICOURT<sup>5</sup>, W.R. BOYNTON<sup>5</sup>, T.M. CHURCH<sup>6</sup>, D.M. DITORO<sup>7</sup>, R. ELMGREN<sup>8</sup>, J.H. GARBER<sup>9</sup>, A.E. GIBLIN<sup>10</sup>, R.A. JAHNKE<sup>11</sup>, N.J.P. OWENS<sup>12</sup>, M.E.Q. PILSON<sup>1</sup> & S.P. SEITZINGER<sup>13</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Rhode Island; <sup>2</sup>Texas A&M University; <sup>3</sup>Old Dominion University; <sup>4</sup>Universite Libre de Bruxelles; <sup>5</sup>university of Marylund; <sup>6</sup>University of Delaware; <sup>7</sup>Manhattan College; <sup>8</sup>Stockholm University; <sup>9</sup>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; <sup>10</sup>Marine Biological Laboratory; <sup>11</sup>Skidaway Instit. of Oceanography; <sup>12</sup>university of Newcastle; <sup>13</sup>Rutgers University/NOAA CMER Program

Received 11 August 1995; accepted 13 February 1996

Key words: continential shelf, estuaries, mass balance, nitrogen, North Atlantic, nutrient budget, phosphorus

Abstract. Five large rivers that discharge on the western North Atlantic continental shelf carry about 45% of the nitrogen (N) and 70% of the phosphorus (P) that others estimate to be the total flux of these elements from the entire North Atlantic watershed, including North, Central and South America, Europe, and Northwest Africa. We estimate that  $61 \cdot 10^9$  moles  $y^{-1}$  of N and  $20 \cdot 10^9$  moles  $y^{-1}$  of P from the large rivers are buried with sediments in their deltas, and that an equal amount of N and P from the large rivers is lost to the shelf through burial of river sediments that are deposited directly on the continental slope. The effective transport of active N and P from land to the shelf through the very large rivers is thus reduced to  $292 \cdot 10^9$  moles  $y^{-1}$  of N and 13  $\cdot 10^9$  moles  $y^{-1}$  of P.

The remaining riverine fluxes from land must pass through estuaries. An analysis of annual total N and total P budgets for various estuaries around the North Atlantic revealed that the net fractional transport of these nutrients through estuaries to the continental shelf is inversely correlated with the log mean residence time of water in the system. This is consistent with numerous observations of nutrient retention and loss in temperate **lakes**. Denitrification is the major process responsible for removing N in most estuaries, and the fraction of total N input that is **denitrified** appears to be directly proportional to the log mean water residence time. In general, we estimate that estuarine processes retain and remove **30–65%** of the total N and 10–55% of the total P that would otherwise pass into the coastal ocean. The resulting transport **through** estuaries to the shelf amounts to  $172–335 \cdot 10^9$  moles  $y^{-1}$  of N and  $11–19 \cdot 10^9$  moles  $y^{-1}$  of P. These values are similar to the effective contribution from the large rivers that discharge directly on the shelf.

For the North Atlantic shelf as a whole, N fluxes from major rivers and estuaries exceed atmospheric deposition by a factor of **3.5–4.7**, but this varies widely among regions of the shelf. For example, on the U.S. Atlantic shelf and on the northwestEuropean shelf, atmospheric deposition of N may exceed estuarine exports. **Denitrification** in shelf sediments exceeds the combined N input from land and atmosphere by a factor of **1.4–2.2**. This deficit must be met by a flux of N from the deeper ocean. Burial of organic matter fixed on the shelf removes only a small fraction of the total N and P input (2–12% of N from land and atmosphere; 1–17% of P), but it may be a significantloss for P in the North Sea and some other regions. The removal of N and P in fisheries landings is very small. The gross exchange of N and P between the shelf



#### 142

and the open ocean is much larger than inputs from land and, for the North Atlantic shelf as a whole, it may be much larger than the N and P removed through denitrification, burial, and fisheries. Overall, the North Atlantic continental shelf appears to remove some 700–950  $\cdot 10^9$  moles of N each year from the deep ocean and to transportsomewhere between 18 and  $30 \cdot 10^9$  moles of P to the open sea. If the N and P associated with riverine sediments deposited on the continental slope are included in the total balance, the net flux of N to the shelf is reduced by 60  $\cdot 10^9$  moles  $y^{-1}$  and the P flux to the ocean is increased by 20  $\cdot 10^9$  moles  $y^{-1}$ . These conclusions are quite tentative, however, because of large uncertainties in our estimates of some important terms in the shelf mass balance.

## Introduction

The word "margin" appears commonly in papers dealing with exchanges between land and sea. It is a conveniently ambiguous term fur an interface that is difficult to define. We use it here to refer to the whole complex border of environments between free-flowing fresh water and the edge of the continental shelf, including intertidal wetlands, estuaries, and the shelf. W e it would be best to describe the-outer boundary of the shelf by a marked change in water depth, as a practical matter we define the shelf as extending seaward to a depth of 100 or 200 m. Areas within each of these depths have been calculated (Pilson & Seitzinger, this volume), and one or the other is frequently used to fix the shelf-slope boundary (Eisma 1988).

If we define the oceans as beginning where fresh and salt water first meet [an admittedly elusive point], then the nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) fluxes reported by Howarth et al. (this volume) may be taken as the inputs to the North Atlantic from land. In what may have been the first attempt to develop nutrient budgets for the world ocean, Emery et al. (1955) worked from such a perspective and established a convention for later efforts (for example, the Paris Commission as discussed by Balls 1994). During the last 15–20 years, however, there has b a n a growing appreciation that intense biogeochemical exchanges in estuaries (including their wetlands) and on the continental shelf may influence markedly the net flux of N and P from land to the open ocean (Edmond et al. 1981; GESAMP 1987; Balls 1994; Howarth et al. 1985; Nixon et al. 1995). In this report we attempt to assess the magnitude of this influence around the land-sea m a . of the North Atlantic so that we can estimate the net transport of N and P from land onto the continental shelf and the net exchange between the shelf and the open sea.

## Very large rivers

Five major rivers with an average water flowexceeding  $3000 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  discharge directly onto the continental shelf on the western side of the North Atlantic

	Water Discharge <sup>2</sup>	×10 <sup>9</sup> mc	oles y <sup>-1</sup>
	$m^{3} s^{-1}$	Ν	Р
Mississippi-Atchafalaya <sup>3</sup>	17,545	130	3.5
Grijalva-Usumacinta <sup>4</sup> (Western Gulf of Mexico)	3,265	13	0.05
Magdelena <sup>4</sup> (Central America)	8,000	9.5	0.6
<b>Orinoco<sup>5</sup></b> (Central America)	28,000	39	2.3
Amazon <sup>6</sup>	180,000	223	<u>47</u>
Total direct discharge on shelf <sup>7</sup>		414	53

*Table* I. Estimated flux of total nitrogen and phosphorus in major rivers that discharge directly on the North Atlantic continental shelf.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The St. Lawrence is also a very large river  $(10,400 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1})$ , but it does not form a delta and contains **an** estuarine mixing zone at its mouth (Czaya 1981; **Bugden** 1981).

<sup>2</sup> Czaya (1981).

<sup>3</sup> Recent values calculated by Howarth et al. (this volume) from data summarized by Turner and Rabalais (1991).

<sup>4</sup> Calculated assuming that the ratio of river nutrient discharge to regional nutrient discharge is equal to the ratio of river water discharge to regional water discharge as reported by **Howarth** et al. (this volume).

<sup>5</sup> Lewis & Saunders (1989).

<sup>6</sup> N from Solati et al. (1982); P from Devol et al. (1991).

 $^{7}$  As discussed in text, we estimate that 29% of this N and 75% of this P is buried in deltas and on the continental slope.

(Czaya 1981). Because the flows from these rivers are sufficiently great that fresh and salt water mixing takes place largely on the shelf, rather than in an estuarine embayment, we can consider the N and P they carry as direct additions to the shelf. Unfortunately, the fluxes of total N and total P in two of these rivers (the Grijalva-Usumacinta and the Magdelena) appear not to have been measured, and we have assumed that the discharge of N and P from the regions they drain is partitioned according to regional water discharge as described by Howarth et al. (this volume). The contributions of N and P from the Mississippi, the Amazon, and the Orinoco have been measured directly (Table 1). Together these five river systems appear to carry about 45% of the N and 70% of the P that Howarth et al. (this volume) calculate for the total riverine flux to the North Atlantic.

These fluxes are so large that it is useful to consider them in more detail rather than simply entering them in future tables as inputs to the shelf. This is particularly important for P, because a very large portion of the total P carried by the rivers is associated with suspended sediments. Most of this P will ultimately be buried with the sediments and thus not play an active role in what are geologically speaking **short**-**term** biogeochemical processes on the shelf and in the ocean (Froelich 1988).



## 144

The fate of the sediment discharged by the very large rivers (Table 2) is not known with a great deal of certainty – some accumulates in the delta formed at the mouth of each of the rivers (Table 2), same may be deposited elsewhere on the shelf away from the delta, and **somé** is **carried** over the shelf break and buried on the continental slope. For the purpose of this accounting, we assume that 50% of the sediment discharged from each river is buried in its delta as found for the Amazon (**Kuehl** et al. 1986). We further assume that the remaining sediment is deposited and buried on the slope, thus bypassing the shelf entirely.

Because there have been few reports of the N content of delta sediments, we based our estimate of N burial on the more numerous measurements of organic carbon and an assumed C/N ratio of 10 (molar). Meybeck (1982) reported a C/N (molar) ratio of 9.3–14 for marine sediment and **DeMaster** et al. (1994) found a range from 7.5 to 10 in rapidly accreting slope sediments off North Carolina. Trefry et al. (1994) reported a C/N (molar) ratio of 9.9 for particulate organic matter in the Mississippi River and Richey & Victoria (1993) found a mean ratio of 10.8 for fine particulates in the Amazon. Our use of a ratio of 10 may underestimate the N burial, however, since Aller & Aller (1986) reported a mean value of 7.4 in 22 samples of sediment (0–45 cm depth) from the Amazon continental shelf.

The organic carbon content of delta sediments has been measured for the Mississippi (1.4%, Trefry et al. 1994), the Orinoco (0.91%, van Andel & Postma 1954), and the Amazon (0.66%, Showers & Angle 1986). Following Berner (1982) we assumed a C value of 0.75% for delta sediments from the remaining two rivers. Based on these assumptions, we estimate that about  $61 \cdot 10^9$  moles N y<sup>-1</sup> are buried in the deltas of the five very large rivers (Table 2), and that an equal amount of N from the rivers is buried on the adjacent continental slopes. This represents 29% of the total N discharged by the rivers (Table 1) and leaves 292  $\cdot 10^9$  moles N y<sup>-1</sup> as a potentially active contribution to the continental shelf.

There have also been few measurements of total P in deltaic sediments. Unfortunately, while there is arelationship between organic P and organic C in sediments that appears to vary in a regular way with sedimentation rate (Ingall & Van Cappellen 1990), a large fraction of the river borne P is in inorganic particulateform (Jahnke 1992). For example, Richey & Victoria (1993) found no correlation between organic C and total P in particulate matter carried by the Amazon. As a result, we estimated P burial in a different way than we did N burial. Following Froelich (1988), who concluded that "... about 25% of the total phosphorus eroded from the continents is activated and enters the ocean in a biologically available form," we assume that 75% of the total P discharge (Table 1) will be **buried** in the large river deltas and on the

*Table* 2. Estimated burial of nitrogen and phosphorus in major river deltas on the North Atlantic continental shelf. We assume that an equal amount of N and P is buried on the continental slope.

	Sediment discharge <sup>1</sup>	Delta area <sup>2</sup>	10 <sup>9</sup> r	noles y <sup>-1</sup>
	$10^{12} \mathrm{g y}^{-1}$	10 <sup>3</sup> km <sup>2</sup>	Ν	Р
Mississippi-Atchafalaya	21'0	30	12	1.3
Grijalva-Usumacinta	96	17	3	0.02
Magdelena	220	1.7	7	0.2
Orinoco	150	21	6	0.9
Amazon	1200	100	<u>33</u>	17.6
Total	1876	170	61	20

From various sources summarized by Milliman & Syvitski (1992). The Grijalva-Usurnacinta sediment discharge was calculated from Milliman and Syvitski's regression relating sediment discharge to runoff for high rivers using mean water discharge (1980–1983) and watershed data for the Usumacinta at Boca del Cerro, Mexico (UNESCO 1992). The resulting **flux** of  $69 \cdot 10^{12}$  g y<sup>-1</sup> was increased by a factor of 1.39 to account for the higher water flow at the mouth of the combined Grijalva-Usurnacintariver system (Czaya 1981). No estimate of combined watershed area was available.

<sup>2</sup> Amazon and Mississippi deltas from Czaya (1981), others from Mulholland (1981). The latter reports delta areas of  $29 \cdot 10^3$  km<sup>2</sup> and  $467 \cdot 10^3$  for the Mississippi and the Amazon, respectively.

continental slope. If this is correct, about  $20 \cdot 10^9$  moles P y<sup>-1</sup> from the very large rivers will be buried in the deltas,  $20 \cdot 10^9$  moles y<sup>-1</sup> will be buried on the continental slope, and  $13 \cdot 10^9$  moles y<sup>-1</sup> will be an active input to the shelf.

It follows from these assumptions that the average P concentration on the buried sediments from the very large rivers should be  $40 \cdot 10^9$  moles P  $y^{-1}$  divided by  $1876 \cdot 10^{12}$  g  $y^{-1}$  of sediment or 0.02 mmol P  $g^{-1}$ . This is equivalent to 0.66 mg P  $g^{-1}$ , a value consistent with recent measurements of total P in marine sediments summarized by Howarth et al. (1995).

Aside from the five very large rivers, all of the remaining **riverine** fluxes of N and P from land must pass through estuaries before they reach the shelf. During the passage through these intensely metabolic systems, there is great potential for modification.

## **Estuaries**

Considering that the first attempt to develop a quantitative mass balance or budget for nitrogen in a marine system was carried out almost 90 years ago in the North Sea (Johnstone 1908), there have been surprisingly few efforts to describe the overall balance of total N and P in estuarine embayments



146

around the North Atlantic. Fortunately, for this exercise we were able to find or assemble relatively complete mass balances for nine impressively diverse systems. They vary widely in size  $(24 \text{ km}^2 \text{ to } 375.10^3 \text{ km}^2)$ , mean depth (1 m to 55 m), water residence time (3 days to 20 years), and nutrient loading rate (230 mmol N  $m^{-2} y^{-1}$  to 13,400 mmol N  $m^{-2} y^{-1}$ ). The systems represented include a coastal lagoon (the Guadalupe Estuary), drowned river estuaries (e.g., Delaware Bay), coastal embayments (Boston Harbor), and an inland sea (the Baltic). The sample also includes relatively pristine systems where non-point sources of N and P dominate (e.g., Ochlockonee Bay) as well as intensively developed estuaries where point source sewage discharges provide large amounts of nutrients (e.g., the Scheldt Estuary). Some of the systems experience hypoxic or anoxic conditions (e.g., Chesapeake Bay, Potomac Estuary, Scheldt, the Baltic), while others are relatively well mixed and characterized by oxygenated bottom water (e.g., Delaware Bay, Narragansett Bay). Some have extensive areas of intertidal salt marsh (e.g., Delaware Bay), while others do not (e.g., Narragansett Bay, Boston Harbor).

Despite the **considerable** efforts expended by many **investigators**, we can not claim to have a rigorously closed budgetfor N and P in any of these diverse systems. In no case have all of the inputs, outputs, and changes in internal storage of N or P been measured independently and contemporaneously (see discussion in **Nixon** et al. 1995). In most cases, the flux in which we are most interested, the net export of N and P to the shelf, has been calculated by difference. The Baltic Sea and the Scheldt are notable exceptions in which that flux has been measured or modeled and **denitrification** has been calculated by difference. The estuarine-shelf exchanges at the mouth of Chesapeake Bay have also been modeled (Cerco & Cole 1992) and agree well with the exchanges calculated by difference (Boynton et al. 1995).

In order to facilitate comparison among the systems, we have expressed all of the terms in each budget as a flux per unit area (Tables 3–11). It is important to emphasize that our sample only includes studies in which all of the various forms of N and P have been measured. There are many more estuaries in which the behavior of dissolved inorganic N and P has been observed, but these can not be taken as revealing anything about the exchange of total N or P. For example, it has **been**, well established that dissolved reactive phosphorus accounts for only a small fraction of the total P flux in unpolluted rivers (Jahnke 1992; Howarth et al. 1995).

It is apparent from an inspection of the budgets that our sample of only nine coastal systems includes a wide range of biogeochemical behavior, from almost complete transfer of N and P between land and the shelf (e.g., Ochlock-onee Bay and Boston Harbor) to almost complete interception of the flux from land (e.g., the Baltic Sea). Chesapéake Bay and the estuary of its tributary, the

Potomac River, appear to import P from the shelf (Boynton et al. 1995). In seeking to relate such behavior to various characteristics of the systems, we turned to limnology, where it has been shown that the retention of N and P in many lakes is largely a function of flushing rate, though the exact form of the relationship is described differently by various authors (Kirchner & Dillon 1975; Kelly et al. 1987; see brief overviews by Rigler & Peters 1995; Howarth et al., this volume). It is more difficult to estimate the effective flushing rate in estuaries than in lakes, but we were able to obtain at least a rough estimate of the mean fresh water replacement time for each system in our sample. Linear regressions of the fractional net transport of nitrogen and phosphorus from land to the coastal ocean as a function the log mean residence time suggest that this feature is also very important in influencing the net balance of N and P in estuaries (Figures 1 and 2).

This result was not necessarily expected because there are important differences between the behavior of N and P in fresh and salt water and in lake and marine sediments (Froelich 1988; **Seitzinger 1988b; Nixon** 1988; Caraco et al. 1990; Howarth et al. 1995). However, our conclusion is **further** supported (at least for N) by a recent independent study of the N balance in a Danish fjord by Nielsen et al. (1995), who found that 95% of the N entering that system from land was exported. Their published report described the fjord as having a water residence time ranging from 1.5 to 13 days, but they have provided us with a calculation of the time-averaged fresh water replacement rate of **five days during** the year of their **study**. Their export is thus consistent with the other systems shown in Figure 1. The behavior of N in the northern Adriatic Sea also fits with **the** pattern shown by estuaries and lakes in our sample (Degobbis et al. 1986).

Because the companion article by Howarth et al. (in this volume) discusses N retention in lakes as a function of the ratio of mean depth to water residence time according to the model of Kelly et al. (1987), we have also analyzed our data in that way. While the result (Figure 3) confirms that the N retention of estuaries is generally consistent with that of lakes and rivers (see Howarth et al., this volume), the inclusion of water depth did not result in a regression with a higher  $r^2$ .

The relationship between flushing rate and **export** is less **convincing for** P (Figure 2). We excluded Chesapeake Bay and its tributary estuary, the Potomac, from the Pexport regression because they both appear to have a net input of P from the coastal ocean (Tables 4 and 8). This exceptional behavior appears to be due, at least in part, to very rapid sedimentation rates in these systems that are supported equally by sediment inputs from shoreline erosion and from rivers (Boynton et al. 1995). High rates of sediment deposition also make Chesapeake Bay and the Potomac appear to bury a larger fraction of

*Table 3*, The annualmass balance of total nitrogen and phosphorus in the Baltic Sea.<sup>1</sup> Data are from Elmgren (1984), HELCOM (1993). Larsson et al. (1985), Shaffer & Rönner (1984). Wulff & Stigebrandt (1989), and Wulff et al. (1994). In some cases values have been recalculated to exclude the Danish Straits area. Units are mmol  $m^{-2} y^{-1}$ .

	Ν	Р
Inputs		
Direct atmospheric deposition <sup>2</sup>	68	0.2
Nitrogen fixation <sup>3</sup>	26	_
Rivers <sup>4</sup>	109	3.1
D i t <b>urban</b> areas and industries <sup>5</sup>	_14	0.8
	217	4.1
Changes in storage		
Net accumulation in water <sup>6</sup>	16	0.3
Net accumulation in sediment <sup>7</sup>	11	3.0
outputs		
Denitrification <sup>8</sup>	161	
Loss as gaseous $N_2O^9$	4	
Fish landings <sup>1</sup> '	5	0.3
Coastal ocean, net exchange"	20	0.5

<sup>1</sup> Inside the Danish **Straits.** Area = 374600 km<sup>2</sup>, mean depth = 55 m (Wulff & Stigebrandt 1989).

<sup>2</sup> Swedish EnvironmentProtection Agency (1993: 142–143).

<sup>3</sup> Larsson et al. (1985).

<sup>4</sup> Swedish EnvironmentProtection Agency (1993). minus direct loads from urban areas and industries.

HELCOM (1993).

<sup>6</sup> Change between 1982–1986 and 1987–1991, Wulff et al. (1994).

<sup>7</sup> N value **from** Larsson et al. (1985); P value calculated by difference.

<sup>8</sup>Calculated by difference.

<sup>9</sup> Shaffer & Rönner (1984).

<sup>10</sup> Finfish only (Elmgren 1984), content of nutrient elements calculated as in Table 14.

<sup>11</sup>Wulff & Stigebrandt (1989).

their N input than calculated for the other estuaries. With the exception of the upper **end** of the estimated range for N burial in Narragansett Bay, all of the other estimates of N burial are less than 10% of the input, while N burial in the Potomac is reported to be 35% of the input and for the Chesapeake as a whole, it is almost 40% of the input (Boynton et al. 1995) (see Tables 3–11).

In a previous comparison of denitrification rates and the inputs of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) to several estuaries, **Seitzinger (1988b)** found that denitrification removed a relatively constant 45% of the DIN input. If we express denitrification as a fraction of the total nitrogen input, however, it



*Table* 4. The annual mass balance of total nitrogen and phosphorus in Chesapeake Bay, Maryland-Virginia.<sup>1</sup> during 1985–1986. Data from Payment at al. (1995) Units are much  $m^{-2}$   $w^{-1}$ Boynton et al. (1995). Units are mmol  $m^{-2}$  y

N ·	P	
113	2	
?	0	
565	18	
260	11	
0	10	
938	41	
0	0	
327	40	
245	0	
84	1.5	
282	0	
	N 113 ? 565 260 0 938 0 327 245 84 282	N P   113 2   ? 0   565 18   260 11 $-0$ 10   938 41   0 0   327 40   245 0   84 1.5   282 0

Area =  $11542 \text{ km}^2$ , mean depth (MLW) = 6 m. Smullenet al. (1982);1976–1981 mean TN and TP in wet deposition.

<sup>3</sup> P input and N output calculated by difference.

appears that N removal is largely a function of water residence time (Figure 4). Our finding that the fractional loss of N to denitrification increases with water residence time in estuaries is also consistent with the general behavior of five out of six Danish lakes studied by Andersen (1974) and with the unstratified lakes analyzed by Kelly et al. (1987).

Unfortunately, it is difficult to apply the relationships between flushing rate and N and P export in any exact way to the fluxes reported by Howarth et al. (this volume) because their results represent an aggregate flux through long stretches of coastline. Each region may contain numerous estuaries with highly variable flushing rates, many of which have never been estimated. As a practical expedient we have assumed that most estuaries are characterized by mean residence times of 0.5–12 months, and that they will acccordingly export some 35-70% of their total N input and about 45-90% of their total P input to the continental shelf. We have made **an** exception to this procedure for the Baltic Sea, where we reduced the N and P exported from the Baltic according to Table 3 in order to compute a net flux to the Northwest European Coast and North Sea. The consequence of these assumptions in combination with the burial of some of the N and P carried by the very large rivers is that the total riverine flux of N from land onto the total North Atlantic shelf is

	Ν ,	Р
Inputs		
Direct atmospheric deposition <sup>2</sup>	100	3
Land drainage and sewage <sup>3</sup>	1800	155
	1900	158
Changesin storage		
Accumulation in water	0	0
Accumulation in sediment		
Salt marshes <sup>4</sup>	178	2
Subtida1 <sup>5</sup>	77	_21
	255	23
outputs		
Denitrification <sup>6</sup>	825-1025	0
Fish landings	. Small	Very small
Coastal ocean <sup>7</sup>	620-820	135

Table 5. The annual mass balance of total nitrogen and phosphorusin Delaware Bay, Delaware-New Jersey<sup>1</sup> during the 1980s. Units are mmol  $m^{-2} y^{-1}$ .

<sup>1</sup> Area =  $1989 \text{ km}^2$ , mean depth = 9.7 m (Polis & Kupferman 1973).

<sup>2</sup> Direct wet DIN from Scudlark & Church (1994). They assumed dry deposition equal to wet. We assume DON equal to 50% of wet NO<sub>3</sub> and wet NO<sub>3</sub> equal to 50% of wet DIN based on Nowicki & Oviatt (1990). P based on recent regional AIRMoN data.

<sup>3</sup> From Jaworski & Howarth (in press). NOAA (1989) reported a total N input of 1630 mmol  $m^{-2} y^{-1}$  and a total P input of 190 mmol  $m^{-2} y^{-1}$ .

<sup>4</sup> N burial estimated assuming 10 mg N/g of marsh sediment based on various sources summarized by Nixon & Lee (1986) and 497.10<sup>3</sup> mt y<sup>-1</sup> of marsh sediment accumulation (Biggs & Beasley 1988). P burial estimated from  $300 \,\mu g P/g$  of marsh sediment (Strom 1976).

<sup>5</sup> Based on a sediment input of 1.6  $\cdot$  10<sup>6</sup> mt accumulating in **subtidal** fine-grained deposits (Biggs & **Beasley** 1988) with a nitrogen content of 0.13% (Cifuentes 1991) for upper bay depositionalarea sediments (Weil 1977). P deposition based on 800  $\mu$ g P g<sup>-1</sup> inorganic sediment in the upper bay depositionalarea (Strom 1976).

<sup>6</sup> Calculated using denitrification rates in the Delaware River from (Seitzinger 1988a;  $300 \,\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) and Delaware Bay proper (Seitzinger 1988b; 100 pmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) and areas of 179 km<sup>2</sup> and 1819 km<sup>2</sup>, respectively. Lower value obtained assuming the lower bay rate applied only to the area not classified by Biggs & Beasley (1988) as consisting of gravel or gravely sand. Lower bay rates measured in summeronly.

Calculated by difference.

reduced to 50–67% of the value calculated by Howarth et al. (this volume) and the flux of P is reduced to 29–39% of the calculated total discharge from land (Table 12). The reduction in P flux is probably even greater since some estuaries trap all of the P from land and import P from the shelf (e.g., Tables 4 and 8).

Table 6. The annual mass balance of total nitrogen and phosp	horus in	Narra-
gansett Bay, Rhode Island. <sup>1</sup> Data were collected at various tin	mes duri	ing the
1980s and early 1990s. Units are mmol $m^{-2} y^{-1}$ . From Nixon e	et al. (19	95).

	Ν	P
Inputs		
Direct atmospheric deposition	90	0.4
Nitrogen fixation	$\sim 0$	0
Rivers	1200	65
Direct sewage	550	40
Storm water runoff	20	_12
	1960	115
Changes in storage		
Accumulation in water	~0	$\sim 0$
Accumulation in sediment	140-335	17–38
Outputs		
Denitrification	260-520	0
Fish landings		
Hard clams	10	0.6
Fin fish	3	0.2
Coastal ocean <sup>Z</sup>	765–1410	76–98

<sup>1</sup> Area =  $328 \text{ km}^2$  including Mt. Hope Bay, mean depth (MLW) = 8.3 m (Pilson 1985).

Calculated by difference. Each term rounded.

#### The N and P balance on the **continential** shelf

#### Deposition from the atmosphere

In addition to inputs of N and P from the large rivers that discharge on the shelf and the net export from estuaries, there is a significant flux of N to the continental shelf from atmospheric deposition (Duce et al. 1991; Paerl 1995). We have estimated this term for each region of the shelf around the North Atlantic by multiplying the shelf area estimates of Pilson & Seitzinger (this volume) by mean area-specific wet and dry N deposition intensities provided by three different atmospheric transport/chemistry models (Prospero et al., this volume). The result suggests that atmospheric deposition may provide an amount of N to continental shelf waters of the North Atlantic equal to 5–10% of the net N flux from large rivers and estuaries, depending on one's choice of the lower or upper range of probable transport from estuaries and one's choice of depth to define the outer edge of the shelf (Table 13). The relative importance of the atmosphere as a pathway for N input varies markedly



*Table* 7. The annual mass balance of total nitrogen and phosphorus in the Guadalupe Estuary, Texas<sup>1</sup> during a dry (1984) and a wet (1987) year. Data are from Brock et al. (1995) and Longley (1994). Units are mmol  $m^{-2} y^{-1}$ .

			and the second second		
	N		Р		
	1984	1987	1984	1987	
Inputs					
Direct atmospheric deposition	40	40	3.6	, 3.6	
Nitrogen fixation	48	48	0	0	
Rivers	345	1900	49*	160*	
Direct sewage	<u>115</u>		17	_11	
	548	2058	70	171	
Changes in storage					
Accumulation in water	25	30	-7	2	
Accumulation in sediment <sup>2</sup>	1	. 65	0.3	16	
	26	95	-6.7	18	
Outputs					
Denitrification	320	320	0	0	
Fish landings <sup>Z</sup>	35	85	2.2	5.3	
Adjacent bays and gulf <sup>3</sup>	167	1558	75	148	_

1 Area = 551 km<sup>2</sup>, mean depth (MLW) = 1.4 m.

2 P burial reported by **Longley** (1994) adjusted to revised N burial reported by Brock et al. (**1995**) using an N/P ratio of 4 (D. A. Brock, Texas Water Development Board., personal communication. Fish landings adjusted assuming N/P (molar) of 16.

<sup>3</sup> Calculated by difference. Brock et al. (1995) used a numerical model to estimate a net N exchange for this term of 390 mmol  $m^{-2} y^{-1}$  in 1984 and 1500 mmol  $m^{-2} y^{-1}$  in 1987.

\* River and sewage P data from D. A. Brock (personal communication).

among regions. For example, along the North Sea – Northwest European Coast, atmospheric deposition may provide an amount of N equal to 40–110% of the N supplied by the estuaries (Table 13). In fact, the input from the atmosphere is even larger because dissolved organic N is not included in these estimates, and recent evidence indicates that this may be a significant component of the total N deposition from the atmosphere (**Cornell** et al. 1995).

#### Denitrification

In a well-known review of estimates of nitrate uptake by continental shelf sediments, Christensen et al. (1987) concluded that denitrification in such sediments was an important term in the oceanic and global N cycle. While



	N	P
Inputs		
<b>Direct</b> atmospheric deposition <sup>2</sup>	113	2
Nitrogen fixation	?	0
Rivers (diffuse sources)	1277	5.6
Direct sewage (point sources)	705	20
Chesapeake Bay <sup>3</sup>	0	16
	2095	44
Changes in storage		
Accumulation in water	0	0
Accumulation in sediment	837	42
outputs		
Denitrification	330	0
Fish landings	10	1.8
Chesapeake Bay <sup>3</sup>	918	0

Table 8. The annual mass balance of total nitrogen and phosphorus in the Potomac Estuary, Maryland-Virginia<sup>1</sup> during 1985–1986. Data from Boynton et al. (1995). Units are mmol  $m^{-2} y^{-1}$ .

Area =  $1210 \text{ km}^2$ , mean depth (MLW) = 5.9 m Smullen et al. (1982); 1976–1981 mean TN and TP in wet deposition.

<sup>3</sup> P input and N output calculated by difference.

the summary compiled by Christensen et al. (1987) contains a number of errors and their calculations based on their own pore water nitrate profiles are not necessarily convincing, we agreed with their general conclusion that denitrification in shelf sediments was likely to be an important sink for N in the North Atlantic. For this reason a considerable effort was devoted to obtaining region-specific estimates of denitrification on the North Atlantic shelf. This was a considerable challenge because few measurements of denitrification have actually been made in the area. The details of the approach taken, the assumptions required, and the results obtained are presented elsewhere (Seitzinger & Giblin, this volume). Briefly, Seitzinger and Giblin first developed an empirical regression between primary production in the overlying water and sediment oxygen uptake. That regression was then linked to another regression relating sediment oxygen uptake to measurements of coupled nitrification and denitrification in shelf sediments. In this way, they were able to use relatively abundant primary production data to estimate region-specific benthic denitrification. We recognize that this model will underestimate total denitrification in areas where direct uptake of nitrate **from** the overlying water contributes significantly to denitrification in the sediments.

Table 9. The **annual** mass balance of total nitrogen in **Ochlockonee** Bay, Florida' during normal flow conditions. Data from **Seitzinger** (1987) and unpublished measurements. Units **are mmol**  $m^{-2} y^{-1}$ .

Area =  $24 \text{ km}^2$ , mean depth at low tide = 1 m.

Hendry & Brezonik (1980). includes TON, NH<sub>4</sub>, and NO<sub>3</sub> in bulk precipitation during 1976–1977.

DIN input of Seitzinger (1987) increased by a factor of 5 based on **TN:DIN** ratios measured in June (4: 1) and November (6:1). Based on <sup>210</sup>Pb profiles and measured N concentrations of **sedi**-

Based on <sup>210</sup>Pb profiles and measured N concentrations of sediments below 14 cm averaging 86 µmol N gdw<sup>-1</sup>. Range for different assumptions regarding <sup>210</sup>Pb input.

Calculated by difference.

The important conclusion for our effort in this paper is that denitrification appears to remove more nitrogen from every region on the North Atlantic shelf except the Amazon and, perhaps, the Gulf of Mexico, than the combined **flux** from rivers and the atmosphere can provide (Table 14). For the North Atlantic shelf as a whole, coupled nitrification-denitrification in sediments appears to exceed the effective N input from land and atmosphere by a factor of about 1.4–2.3. The net loss of N on the western side of the North Atlantic is about two-three times that on the eastern side, in spite of a much larger input of N from land on the west (Table 14).

In **addition** to denitrification, there are two additional fluxes that can remove N and P from biological circulation on the shelf – fisheries landings and long-term burial in sediments.

#### Fisheries harvest

The North Atlantic contains **some** of the world's most productive fishing grounds, **including** the North Sea, 'the Grand Banks, Georges Bank, and the



Table 10. The recent (1992–1994) annual mass balance of nitrogen and phosphorus in Boston Harbor, Massachusetts prior to sewage diversion! Units are mmol  $m^{-2} y^{-1}$ .

	N	P
Inputs <sup>2</sup>		
Direct atmospheric deposition	200	0.3
Nitrogen fixation	0	0
Rivers	590	27
Groundwater	60	3
Storm water	85	4
Sewage	8160	625
	9095	660
Changes in storage <sup>3</sup>		
Accumulationin water	0	0
Accumulation in sediment	185	22
Outputs		
Denitrification <sup>4</sup>	910	0
Fish landings <sup>3</sup>	Very small	0
Dredging <sup>5</sup>	150	9
Coastal ocean <sup>6</sup>	7850	629

<sup>1</sup> Area =  $108 \text{ km}^2$ , mean depth = 5.8 m (Kelly 1991).

<sup>2</sup> Alber & Chan (1994).

<sup>3</sup> Kelly & Nowicki (1992); P storage calculated from N assuming\_an\_N/P \_ ratio of 8.5 as in Narragansett Bay (Nixon et al. 1995).

<sup>4</sup> Giblin et al. (1993).

<sup>5</sup> Kelly & Nowicki (1992); P calculated from N assuming N/P ratio of 16.

<sup>6</sup> Calculated by difference.

Gulf of Mexico. We estimated the removal of N and P in fish by averaging the recent (1987–1991) annual commercial landings from the United Nations Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) statistical areas that cover the North Atlantic. While finfish account for about 85% of the total North Atlantic catch, we separated the landings of other groups that may differ in their content of N and P (Table 15). If we assume that 90% of the catch is actually taken from the continental shelves, it appears that commercial **fishing** may remove from the shelf an amount of N equal to 3.6–6.4% of the total input from land and atmosphere (Table 15). Fishing may return to land some 4.1–10% of the total P flux from land onto the shelf (Tables 12 and 15). These calculations underestimate the N and P removal in fish because they do not include recreational landings from the shelf. On the other hand, they overestimate the removal because the **FAO** data include estuarine commercial landings as well as those from the shelf. It seems likely that inshore commercial landings

Table 11. The annual mass balance of total nitrogen and phosphorus in the western Scheldt Estuary.<sup>1</sup> N data are from **Billen** et al. (1985) for 1973–1983.P data are less certain but represent approximate conditions for alow flow year (1991). P data from Billen & Servais (1991). Units are mmol  $m^{-2} y^{-1}$ .

	Ν	Р
Inputs		
Direct atmospheric deposition	?	?
Nitrogen fixation	?	0
Rivers	7220	770
Direct sewage	3350	260
Direct agriculture	<u>2830</u> 13400	$\frac{10}{1040}$
Changes in storage		
Accumulation in water	?	0
Accumulation in sediment	1030	540*
outputs		
Denitrification*	5420	0
Fish landings	?	0
Coastal ocean	6950	500

Area =  $277 \text{ km}^2$ , mean depth = 11.2 m(**Billen**, personal communication). Calculated by difference by original authors.

exceed the recreational catch on the shelf. In any case, the impact on the shelf N and P budgets is minor.

## Burial exclusive of large river deltas

It is difficult to evaluate this term because of the complexity of sediment dynamics on continental shelves. We began by assuming that the burial of N and P only takes place in areas of sediment deposition, because of the general correlation between sedimentation rate and the burial rate of organic matter (Henrichs & Reeburgh 1987; Mayer 1994), and becauses ediments themselves also carry some inorganic N and P. The sediments being deposited may have been carried onto the shelf very recently by rivers, been eroded recently from headlands, or been eroded from much older deposits elsewhere on the shelf (Eisma 1981).



**Figure I.** The percent of total nitrogen input from land and atmosphere that is exported from a sample of estuaries and lakes as a function of mean water residence time in the system. Estuarine data (solid **points**) from Tables 3–11. Norsminde Fjord export from Nielsen et al. (1995). Estuarine residence times from **Seitzinger** (1987) Ochlockonee Bay, FL; **Signell & Butman** (1992) Boston Harbor, MA; David Brock (personal communication) Guadalupe Estuary, TX in dry and wet years; Pilson (1985) Narragansett Bay, RI; **Billen** (personal communication)Scheldt Estuary; **Polis &** Kupferman (1973) Delaware Bay; W. **Boicourt** (personal communication)Potomac Estuary, VA-MD and **Chesapeake Bay**, VA-MD; C. Cerco, US. **Army** Corps of Engineers, recently provided a residence time estimate of 7.6 months for Chesapeake Bay based on a simulated dye study using a hydrodynamic model of the bay; **Larsson** (1986) Baltic Sea; K. **Nielsen** (personal communication)Norsminde Fjord. North Adriatic data from Degobbis et al. (1988). **Lakes Erie** and Mendota (open circles) from various sources summarized by **Nixon** (1988). Other lakes (unnamed open circles) from a study of six shallow Danish lakes by **Andersen** (1974). The regression line is for the combined data set. Separate regressions for **estuarine** and lake data were virtually identical.

#### Sediments carried by rivers

In their recent **review** of sediment discharge to the world ocean, **Milliman** & Syvitski (1992) provided a concise summary of our present state of knowledge:

What is the **sediment** flux to the sea? This question really has two parts: how much sediment is carried by rivers, and how much escapes the present-day **land/estuarine environment**? The answer to both is more or less the same – we don't know.



*Figure* 2. The percent of total phosphorus input from land that is exported from a sample of estuaries and lakes as a function of mean fresh water replacement time in the system. Data sourcesas in Figure 1. Regression line includes lakes and estuaries but excludes the Guadalupe in a low flow (long residence time) year.

Fortunately, the situation is not quite so difficult for the North Atlantic, where there appears to be good evidence that virtually all (90–95%) of the sediment carried by rivers on the east coast of the United States is deposited in estuaries rather than on the shelf (Meade 1972,1982; Swift et al. 1981). The situation appears to be the same in the North Sea, where Eisma (1981) found that sediment deposition in estuaries (including the Wadden Sea and The Wash) was essentially equal to the input from rivers. While recognizing that some sedimentescapes from the estuaries, especially during large floods, we assume that this **flux** is sufficiently small for the shelf as a whole that we can ignore it in subsequent calculations.

#### Sediments from coastal erosion

*In* the North Sea, this source appears to provide an amount of sediment equal to about 15% of that carried into the estuaries by rivers (Eisma 1988). We are not aware of any comprehensive estimate of this kind for the North Atlantic as a whole, and we are reluctant to extrapolate from the North Sea alone. As a practical matter, we simply acknowledge that coastal erosion may provide an unquantified, but almost **certainly** small, amount of sediment to the inner shelf. Moreover, even if the amount were known, we would still have to



Figure 3. The percent of total nitrogen input from land and atmosphere that is "retained" (buried and **denitrified**) in various estuaries as a function of the ratio of mean depth (z, m) to fresh water residence time in the system. We have used retention rather than export and years rather than months for the residence time in this figure to be consistent with the discussion of Figure 6 in Howarth et al. (this volume). The broken **lines** were calculated using the model of Kelly et al. (1987) for mass transfer coefficients (Sn) of 30 and 50. The estuarine systems follow the **pattern** described for lakes, reservoirs and rivers.

determine the net increase (if any) in N and P concentration on the sediment between the time it was eroded and the time it was buried on the shelf. This would be necessary because Howarth et al. (this volume) did not include coastal erosion in their estimates of N and P flux from land.

#### Sediments resuspended from relic deposits

Again using the North Sea as an example, Eisma (1981,1988) estimated that this source contributes as much or more sediment to depositional areas **as do** rivers. Overall, he calculated that some  $14-24 \cdot 10^{12}$  g y<sup>-1</sup> of fine-grained sediment are redeposited on the bottom of the North Sea outside the estuaries. Major depositional areas include the **Skagerrak**, the Kattegat, and the German Bight (van **Weering** 1981; **Lohse** et al. 1995).

It is difficult to know how much N and P is buried as older deposits are resuspended, transported, and **deposited** again. Sediments in the large depositional area of the Skagerrak contain 3–6 times more organic C than

159





Figure 4. The fraction of total nitrogen input from land and atmosphere that is denitrified in variousestuarine systems as a function of mean water residence time. Data sources as in Figure 1. The estimate for Delaware Bay is almost certainly too high because it is based on summer measurements only (see Table 5). Anoxic bottom waters over parts of Chesapeake Bay and the Potomac estuary may reduce the fraction of total N input that is denitrified.

adjacent sediments (van Weering 1981), but this may be due to grain size (Mayer 1994; Milliman 1994; Lohse et al. 1995) and we do not know if there was an increase in the concentrations of N and P on the sediments since they were eroded.

In the absence of specific information for all but a few of the various depositional areas on the North Atlantic shelf, we can only make a very rough beginning in estimating the potential magnitude of N and P burial. Since we are dealing with older sediment that may have been on the shelf for thousands of years (e.g., Bothner & Bacon 1987), the N and P of concern to us must enter the sediment from the deposition of organic matter formed in the **water** column above. In other words, in contrast to the active river delta sediments, we do not need to consider the mineral P associated with the redeposited sediments, since that P was on the shelf long before the time frame of our mass balance.

#### Deposition and burial of organic matter

As part of their effort to estimate denitrification on the North Atlantic shelf, **Seitzinger** & Giblin (this volume) reviewed and summarized numerous

160

Table 12. Estimated flux of total nitrogen and phosphorus from estuaries onto the continental shelf in various regions around the North Atlantic. The fluxes from large rivers that discharge directly on the shelf have also been included after correction for the estimated burial of N and P in large river deltas and on the continental slope. See text. Boundaries of the regions are given in Howarth et al. (this volume).

	10 <sup>9</sup> moles y	-1
-	Total N	Total P
North Canadian Rivers	8-15	0.3-0.5
St. Lawrence Basin	16-33	0.3-0.6
NortheastCoast of U.S. <sup>1</sup>	13-26	1.0-1.9
Southeast Coast of U.S.	6-12	0.2-0.3
Gulf of Mexico <sup>2</sup>	136-159	1.1-1.3
Central America and Caribbean Islands <sup>3</sup>	33-43	1.4-2.2
Amazon Basin <sup>4</sup>	161-165	13-14
Total Western Atlantic	373-453	17.3-20.8
Northwest European Coast	11-22	0.4-0.8
North Sea	38-68	1.4-2.9
Southwest European Coast	5-10	0.8-1.6
Northwest Africa <sup>5</sup>	_37-74	1.3-2.5
Total Eastern Atlantic	91-174	3.9-7.8
Total North Atlantic	464-627	21.2-28.6

Includes Bay of Fundy and part of Nova Scotia and the U.S. coast to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina.

Effective conhibution from the Mississippi plus Grijalva-Usumacinta = 113.10<sup>9</sup> moles N and 0.91.10<sup>9</sup> moles P.

Effective contribution from the Orinoco plus Magdelena =  $22.5 \cdot 10^9$  moles N and  $0.7 \cdot 10^9$  moles P. <sup>4</sup> Effective contribution from the Amazon =  $157 \cdot 10^9$  moles N and 11.8 .  $10^9$ 

moles P.

5 Not including any net flux to the deep Atlantic from the Mediterranean (see Michaelset al. this volume).

measurements of primary production in the area. They also examined the relationship between primary production in the water and the consumption of organic matter by shelf sediments. While there is considerable variation, almost all of the data were consistent with the view that about 10-30% of the shelf primary production was consumed in or on the sediments. This is in agreement with more detailed studies of the U.S.shelf south of New England (Rowe et al. 1988) and the North Sea (Billen et al. 1990). As a working number, Seitzinger & Giblin (this volume) used 16% for their estimate of the fraction of primary production respired on the bottom. Given the scatter in the data, and considering that the deposition of organic matter on the bottom

## 162

q

Table 13. Estimated deposition of NO, and  $NH_x$  (wet plus dry) from the atmosphere onto the continental shelf in various regions around the North Atlantic. Deposition rates are from Prospero et al. (this volume), shelf areas (0-100 m depth and 0-200 m depth) are from Pilson & Seitzinger (this volume). Boundaries of the regions are given in Howarth et al. (this volume).

			10 <sup>9</sup> moles	y <sup>-1</sup>		
			NOy		NO, + NH	I,
be			0100 m	0-200m	0–100 m	0-20 <u>0m</u>
North Canad	ianRivers'		3.5	6.4	4.9	9.0
St. Lawrence	Basin <sup>2</sup>		4.7	7.8	6.6	11.0
Northeast Co	ast of <b>U.S.</b> <sup>3</sup>		8.8	14	11	18
SoutheastCo	astof <b>U.S.</b> <sup>4</sup>		2.7	3.0	3.9	4.4
Gulf of Mexi	co <sup>S</sup>		11	13	18	21
Central Ame	rica and Caribbean	Islands <sup>6</sup>	1.9	2.4	4.6	5.8
Amazon Bas	in <sup>7</sup>		1.8	1.9	5.4	5.7
Total West	ern Atlantic		34.4	48.5	54.4	74.9
Northwest Eu	ropean Coast <sup>8</sup>		20	29	38	54
Southwest Eu	ropean Coast <sup>9</sup>		0.7	1.1	1.1	1.8
Northwest A	frica <sup>1</sup> *		1.9	2.3		
Total Easte	ern Atlantic		41.1	58.2		
<sup>1</sup> 0–100 m ==	708,616 km <sup>2</sup>	0.200 m =	- 1,276,783	$3 \text{ km}^2$		
$^{2}$ 0-100 m =	315,770 km <sup>2</sup>	0.200 <b>m</b> =	<b>518,99</b>	8 km <sup>2</sup>		
$^{3}$ 0-100 m =	$230.780 \text{ km}^2$	0.200 m =	<b>= 361,04</b>	$2 \mathrm{km}^2$		
$^{\circ} 0-100 \mathrm{m} =$	123,338 km <sup>2</sup>	0.200 m =	= 137,36	4km <sup>2</sup>		
$100 \mathrm{m} = 100 \mathrm{m}$	500,926 km*	0.200 m =	= 573,56	5 km²		

$^{6}$ 0-100 m =	381,070 km <sup>2</sup>	0.200 m =	474,755 km <sup>2</sup>
$^{7}$ 0-100 m =	359,579 km <sup>2</sup>	0.200 m ==	389,562 km <sup>2</sup>
$^{8}$ 0-100 m =	786.236 km <sup>2</sup>	0.200 m ==	1,181,318 km <sup>2</sup>
$^{9}$ 0-100 m =	33,986 km <sup>2</sup>	0.200 m =	51,435 km <sup>2</sup>
$^{10}$ 0–100 m =	319,325 km <sup>2</sup>	0.200 m=	392,888 km <sup>2</sup>

N.W. European coast includes Norway through France, excluding the Baltic.

S.W. European coast includes Spain and Portugal.

Cape Hatteras divides N.E. and S.E. coast of the U.S.

can not be much larger than the amount respired, we assumed that deposition on average was equal to 25% of primary production. This is lower than the 32% estimated by Wollast (1993) for the world coastal zone, but higher than the findings of **Billen** et al. (1990), who estimated **an** average deposition of  $25 \text{ g C m}^2 \text{ y}^{-1}$  in the whole of the North Sea, a value equal to about 18% of the primary production according to Seitzinger and Giblin's review.

Unfortunately, there are few direct measurements of organic deposition on non-upwelling shelves, and even fewer measurements of net long-term

*Table 14*. Estimated balance of nitrogen on the continental shelf in various regions around the North Atlantic excluding exchanges with the open ocean. Boundaries of the regions are given in Howarth et al. (this volume). Fluxes from the land are from Table 12; rates of atmospheric deposition are from **Prospero** et al. (this volume) as calculated in Table 13; denitrification rates are from Seitzinger & Giblin (this volume). Low and high ranges in atmospheric deposition and denitrification apply to shelf areas with 0–100 m or 0–200 m depth contours, respectively (Pilson & Seitzinger, this volume).

in it

	$10^9$ moles y <sup>-1</sup>			
-	Flux from	Atmospheric	Denitrification	Net input
	land <sup>1</sup>	deposition	loss	or (loss)
North Canadian Rivers	8–15	4.9-9.0	49-99	(29-82)
St. Lawrence Basin	16-33	6.6-11	94-155	(54-128)
Northeast Coast of U.S.	13-26	11-18	114-178	(77-147)
Southeast Coast of U.S.	6–12	3.9-4.4	105-117	(89–107)
Gulf of Mexico	136-1 59	18-21	171-195	6-(38)
Central America and Caribbean Islands	33-43	4.6-5.8	101-122	(53-83)
Amazon Basin	161-165	5.4-5.7	77-84	83-93
Total Western Atlantic	373-453	54-75	711-950	(204–502)
Northwest European Coast	49-90	38-54	165-258	(37–155)
Southwest European Coast	5-10	1.1-1.8	19-29	(7.9-22)
Northwest Africa	37-74	1.9-2.3	98-171	(22-132)
Total Eastern Atlantic	91-174	41-58	282-458	(67–309)
Total North Atlantic <sup>2</sup>	464627	95-133	993-1408	(271-811)

<sup>1</sup> **Does** not include the N and P from very large rivers that we consider to be buried in deltas and on the continental slope.

<sup>2</sup> Seitzinger & Giblin (this volume) also calculated a denitrification loss in the shallow waters around Greenland & Iceland that amounted to an additional  $22 \cdot 10^9$  moles  $y^{-1}$ .



Table 15. Estimated removal of nitrogen and phosphorus in fisheries landings from the North Atlantic continental shelf. Values are based on mean annual landings from FAO statistical areas 21, 27, 31 and 34 during 1987–1991.

	Landings,	Nitrogen and 10 <sup>9</sup> moles y	d Phosphorus <b>Removed</b> <sup>2</sup>
	metric tons <sup>1</sup>	Ν	Р
Oysters	334,400	0.37	0.012
Mussels	510,100	0.46	0.028
Scallops	324,900	0.51	0.027
Clams, etc.	498,200	0.96	0.024
Squids, octopuses	286,900	0.41	0.018
Lobsters	152,200	0.25	0.010
Crabs	182,600	0.30	0.012
Shrimp, prawns	480,600	1.20	0.034
Fin fish Total	15,887,700	22.7-34.0	<u>1.02–2.05</u>
10121	10,030,900	21.2-30.3	1.10-2.2 1

<sup>1</sup> From FAO (1993).

<sup>2</sup> N composition from Vinogradov (1953): mussels = 7.2% fresh weight, oysters = 7.7%. clams = 2.7%, scallops = 2.2%, squid and octopuses = 2% (Pilson 1970). lobster and crabs = 2.3%. shrimp = 3.5%, fin fish = 2-3%.

P composition from Vinogradov (1953): mussels = 0.17% fresh weight, oysters = 0.11% clams = 0.15%. scallops 0.26%, squid and octopuses = 0.2%, lobsters and crabs = 0.2%, shrimp = 0.22%, fin fish = 0.2–0.4%.

burial as a fraction of deposition. Based on the work of **Canfield** (1989) and **Blackburn** (1991), we have assumed a C preservation equal to 1–5% of deposition, or between 0.25 and 1.25% of primary production. The fact that this leaves a balance between our deposition estimates and the respiration estimates of **Seitzinger** & Giblin (this volume) is of no real importance given the variability of all of the data. It is probably also useful to note that a net accumulation of organic matter in shelf **sediments** is neither inconsistent with, nor supportive of, the view of Smith & Hollibaugh (1993) that continental shelves are essentially in metabolic balance, with organic production equalling consumption. **Even** in shelf areas such as the Georgia **Bight** off the southeast coast of the U.S., where Hopkinson (1985) found a **great** excess of respiration over production, it is possible that a fraction of the shelf primary production is buried while a large flux of organic matter from land supports an overall heterotrophic carbon balance.

Our estimate of a total North Atlantic shelf deposition of  $19 \cdot 10^{12}$  moles C y<sup>-1</sup> and burial of 0.2–1  $\cdot 10^{12}$ , moles C y<sup>-1</sup> can be compared in a very rough way to global estimates made by others if we consider that the North

164



Atlantic (0–200m) contains about 20% of the world shelf area. Wollast (1993) concluded that 183  $\cdot 10^{12}$  moles C y<sup>-1</sup> (2.2  $\cdot 10^{15}$  g C) were sedimented onto the world continental shelves, about twice as much as a simple p r at in g of our estimate would give. However, his value presumably includes estuaries and active river deltas while ours does not, and a global estimate must also include very productive coastal upwelling areas with high rates of organic deposition and accumulation. Smith & Hollibaugh (1993) concluded that  $9 \cdot 10^{12}$  moles C y<sup>-1</sup> were put into long-term burial on the "coastal bottom" of the world ocean, a value 1.8–9 times greater than ours. Again, however, their estimate presumably includes estuaries, river deltas, and major upwelling areas that are excluded from our value. All of these estimates must be considered as very rough approximations.

We next calculated N burial assuming a C/N (molar) ratio of 10. Walsh (1983) found such a value over large areas of the Gulf of Mexico shelf outside the Mississippi Delta, though there was also considerable local variation. Unfortunately, it was more difficult to decide on an approximate C/organic P ratio, since it is evident from a recent review that that ratio varies widely as a function of sedimentation rate (Ingall & Van Cappellen 1990). It is possible, of course, that a comparable review would show that our choice of a constant value for the C/N ratio was also an oversimplification.

There have been relatively few measurements of deposition rates on the North Atlantic shelf. One 13,000 km<sup>2</sup> area of fine-grained sediments on the southern New England shelf has been studied in some detail (Twiehell et al. 1981), and geochemical evidence indicated a modem deposition rate of about 25 cm/1000 years (Bothner et al. 1981). This rate suggests a Clorganic P ratio of about 500 (Ingall & Van Cappellen 1990). On the other hand, deposition rates in the German Bight and the Skagerrak appear to be much higher, perhaps on the order of 0.5 cm y<sup>-1</sup> (Lohse et al. 1995). Eleven cores of fine-grained inner shelf sediments off the southeast U.S. coast dated using <sup>210</sup>Pb also showed high rates of deposition that averaged 0.9 cm y<sup>-1</sup> (Pomeroy et al. 1993). Sediments accumulating at these higher rates are characterized by a lower C/organic P ratio of about 250 (Ingall & Van Cappellen 1990). In the absence of a convincing area-weighted sedimentation rate, we assumed a total shelf C/organic P range of 250–500.

The results suggest that the burial of N on the shelf outside of **major river** deltas is very small (Table 16) and amounts to only about 1–7% of the total denitrification estimate calculated by Seitzinger & Giblin (this volume). The removal of P may be more significant, however, accounting for 1–20% of **the** net flux onto the shelf from estuaries and very large rivers (Table 12). In areas away from the large rivers, the burial of organic P may be particularly important. For example, in the North Sea, we estimated that 1.4–2.9 . 10<sup>9</sup>



# Table 16. Estimated potential burial of N and P in North Atlantic continental shelf (0-200 m) sediments exclusive of major river deltas.' Burial calculated assuming 0.25–1.25% of the C fixed in the water column is preserved in **long-term** burial and that sediments accumulate with a C/N (molar) of 10 and **C/organic** P of 250–500.

	Primary production <sup>2</sup>	Burial, $10^9$ moles y <sup>-1</sup>		
	$10^{12}$ moles C y <sup>-1</sup>	Ν	Р	
North America	39.2	9.8-49	0.20-1.96	
Central and South America	10.8	2.7–14	0.05-0.54	
Europe	16.3	4.1-20	0.08-0.81	
N. W. Africa	8.9	2.2-11	0.05-0.44	
Greenland and Iceland	12	0.3-1.5	0.01-0.38	
	76.4	19–95	0.39-4.13	

<sup>1</sup> These estimates also do not include 50% of the sediments discharged by the very large rivers that we assume are deposited outside the deltas on the shelf or over the shelf-slope break. See Table 17.

2 From Seitzinger & Giblin (this volume) who provide a more detailed geographical assessment. They used the shelf area within the 200 m depth contour.

moles of P were discharged from the estuaries (Table 12). Using Seitzinger & Giblin's (this volume) estimate for primary production of 32 mmol C m<sup>-2</sup>  $d^{-1}$  (140 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>), we calculated a P burial rate on the North Sea shelf of 0.07–0.70 . 10<sup>9</sup> moles P y<sup>-1</sup>, or 2.4 –50% of the input from land. It is obvious from the example, however, that large uncertainties very much limit our ability to develop a mass balance for the shelf.

#### Exchanges at the shelf break

If we summarize the estimates developed up to this point, it appears that there is a net flux of active P from the continental shelf to the open North Atlantic that is equal to  $17.7-30.4 \cdot 10^9$  moles  $y^{-1}$ , or 24-41% of the value that **Howarth** et al. (this volume) estimate for the total P loss from land (Table 17). In addition there is an estimated  $20 \cdot 10^9$  moles P  $y^{-1}$  buried on the continental slope with sediments from the large rivers. The situation with regard to N, however, is very different. The shelf budget developed thus far **requires** an additional large net source of N that is approximately equal to or greater than the combined input of N from large rivers, estuaries, and atmospheric deposition (Table 17).

There are two potential sources for the missing N – biological fixation directly on the shelf and the nutrient-rich deeper ocean waters off the shelf that Csanady (1990) termed the "slope sea." It is likely that the first is of little importance. Walsh (1988) estimated that N fixation on the entire shelf area of the world ocean was less than '100  $\cdot$  10<sup>9</sup> moles N y<sup>-1</sup>. Since the area of

166

*Table* 17. Comparison of the estimated fluxes of active N and P onto the North Atlantic continental shelf (0–200 m depth)from land and atmosphere with removal processes on the shelf. We estimate that an additional 61.  $10^9$  moles  $y^{-1}$  of N and 20.  $10^9$  moles  $y^{-1}$  of P are buried on the shelf in deltas of the very large rivers. We also assume that equal amounts of N and P associated with sediments **carried** by the very large rivers are deposited and buried directly on the continental slope.

	Ν	Р	
	Input 10 <sup>9</sup>	moles y <sup>-1</sup>	
From direct atmospheric deposition	133	Very small	
From very large rivers	292	13	
From estuaries	172-335	<u>11-19</u>	
Total	597-760	24-32	
	Removal 10	<sup>9</sup> moles y <sup>-1</sup>	
Organic matter buried on the shelf	19–95	0.4-4.1	
Fisheries harvest	27-38	1.2–2.2	
Denitrification <sup>1</sup> Total	<u>1408</u> 1454-1541	0	

<sup>1</sup> Does not include shallow areas around Greenland and Iceland.

shelf in the North Atlantic (0–200 m) accounts for about 20% of the world total, biological **fixation probably-supplies** less than 20 **.** 10<sup>9</sup> moles N y<sup>-1</sup> compared to the apparent deficit of 690–940 **.** 10<sup>9</sup> moles N y<sup>-1</sup> (Table 17). The largest single source of N for the North Atlantic shelf as a whole must be the onwelling of deeper nutrient-rich water from the continental slope.

#### Onwelling of N and P from the slope

As long ago as the **1930s**, a few oceanographers noted that the physical circulation on at least some parts of the North Atlantic shelf was such that the slope waters could potentially make an important contribution to the nutrient supply and productivity of the shelf. As **Iselin** (1939) explained:

The fact that the salinity is at a maximum near the bottom on the continental shelf shows conclusively that the coastal circulation has an off shore component at the surface and an in shore component beneath ... During the last few years physical oceanographers have begun to appreciate the important role which lateral turbulence plays in the sea ... Under the conditions of high stability often found in coastal waters, the isopicnal transport of nutrients should prove a most fruitful study ..., The chemicals which are in this way pumped up **from** mid depths in the Sargasso Sea are transferred isopicnally across the Gulf Stream to the edge of the



continental shelf. Thus as the bottom water penetrates landward, it carries with it a good supply of nutrients ...

More recent work has shown that the physical exchange processes can be more complicated than this early picture (e.g., Walsh et al. 1978; Csanady 1990; Verity et al. 1993) and few attempts have been made to measure or calculate the fluxes of nitrate onto various regions of the North Atlantic shelf. The results that are available range by a factor of three, from about 1.9 mmol N m<sup>-1</sup> of shelf break s<sup>-1</sup> off Nova Scotia to about 5.7 mmol m<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> off the southeast coast of the U.S. (Table 18). When averaged over the entire width of the shelf in each area, these slope-shelf transports translate into N inputs amounting to 0.3 to 1.1 mol N m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> between eastern Nova Scotia and Florida (Table 18). Georges Bank and, perhaps, a few other special areas are more intensively enriched.

If similar rates of onwelling characterize the entire North Atlantic shelf (0-200 m), this process would supply  $1.7-6.2 \cdot 10^{12}$  moles N y<sup>-1</sup>, far more than enough to meet the deficit of  $0.6-0.9 \cdot 10^{12}$  moles N y<sup>-1</sup> identified in Table 17. Unfortunately, the area of shelf between Nova Scotia and Florida where the most intensive effort has been made to quantify the slope-shelf exchange accounts for less than 10% of the total shelf area (0-200 m) in the North Atlantic (Pilson & Seitzinger, this volume).

We have also estimated the flux of nitrate onto the entire North Atlantic shelf using an empirical regression relating primary production (<sup>14</sup>C uptake) to the supply of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) in a variety of phytoplankton-based marine systems (Figure 5). The plot shown modifies earlier versions (Nixon 1992; Nixon et al. 1994) in that we have only included systems where all of the DIN inputs have been considered. There are numerous estuarine sites for which primary production measurements and N input inventories from land and atmosphere are available, but there are few studies that also provide estimates of the gross flux of DIN into the estuary from the coastal ocean. This version also includes recent measurements of <sup>14</sup>C uptake from the Sargasso Sea and the North Pacific made using "clean techniques," as well as revised estimates of DIN input to those systems.

The review of primary production measurements given by Seitzinger & Giblin (this volume) provides an area-weighted mean value of 165 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> for the entire North Atlantic shelf. The relationship shown in Figure 5 suggests that this level of production would be associated with a DIN input of about 0.5 moles N m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> over the total shelf area (0–200 m) of 5.6  $\cdot 10^6$  km<sup>2</sup> (Pilson & Seitzinger, this volume). The total required flux of 2.9  $\cdot 10^{12}$  moles DIN y<sup>-1</sup> might consist of 0.6–0.8  $\cdot 10^{12}$  moles N from land and atmosphere (if we ignore the fact that a significant but unknown fraction of the N flux from large rivers and estuaries is organic N) and 2.2–2.3  $\cdot 10^{12}$ 

168

	$mmol N m^{-1} s^{-1}$	$mol Nm^{-2}y^{-1}$
Scotian Shelf <sup>1</sup>	1.9	0.32
Georges Bank <sup>2</sup>		5.0
New York shelf <sup>3</sup>		4.6
New York Shelf <sup>4</sup>	3.4	0.8
Mid Atlantic Shelf <sup>5</sup>	4.0	1.1
OuterSoutheast U.S. Shelf <sup>6</sup>		5.6
Southeast U.S. shelf <sup>7</sup>	5.7	1.0

Houghtonetal.(1978); fluxperunitlength of shelf at 50-100 m; areaestimate for entire width of shelf.

Walsh et al. (1987); estimate for entire area within 60 m isobath.

Walsh et al. (1987). It is not clear how much of the entire shelf is included in this estimate of flux per unit area.

<sup>4</sup> Flux per unit length of shelf-slope break from Walsh et al. (1987); flux per unit area for entire shelf calculated using a mean width between Long Island and Cape May of 139 km (**Stommel & Leetma** 1972).

Flux per unit length of 900 km long shelf from Csanady (1990); flux per unit area calculated for entire shelf area assuming a mean width between Nantucket shoals and Cape Henry of 113 km (Stommel & Leetmaa 1972).

Flux per unit area calculated assuming slope waters only influence the outer 1/3 of the shelf area (Verity et al. 1993).

Flux per unit length from Verity et al. (1993); flux per unit area calculated for entire width of shelf as given by Menzel et al. (1993).

moles of DIN from the slope. These values are consistent with the range of  $1.7-6.2 \cdot 10^{12}$  moles DIN y<sup>-1</sup> we calculated for the flux from the slope water by extrapolating from the measurements off Nova Scotia and the east coast of the U.S. Considering the uncertainty in all of these numbers, we accept a range of 2-6.  $10^{12}$  moles DIN y<sup>-1</sup> as a reasonable working estimate for the gross flux onto the shelf from the deeper ocean.

Since dissolved inorganic phosphorus (DIP) is present in the slope water with a **DIN/DIP** ratio of approximately 16, we estimate that the DIN flux of  $2-6 \cdot 10^{12}$  moles y<sup>-1</sup> would be accompanied by a DIP flux of 0.12-037  $\cdot 10^{12}$ moles  $y^{-1}$ . This compares with the addition of only 0.02–0.03 .  $10^{12}$  moles of active P onto the shelf from large rivers and estuaries (Table 17).

The **onwelled** slope waters also contain particulate and dissolved organic N and P, but we do not know how to make a credible estimate of their flux onto the shelf. This may be of little consequence because we assume that the concentration of particulate organic matter in the deeper slope water is low and that the dissolved organic matter is sufficiently refractory that it is not metabolized to any significant degree during its relatively brief residence time on the shelf (e.g., about three months on the southeast U.S. shelf, Menzel et

Table 18. Estimates of the flux of nitrate from slope waters onto various regions of the North Atlantic continental shelf



Figure 5, Primary production by phytoplankton (<sup>14</sup>C uptake) as a function of the estimated rate of input of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) per unit area in a variety of marine ecosystems. The open circles are for large (13 m<sup>3</sup>, 5 m deep) well-mixed mesocosm tanks at the Marine Ecosystems Research Laboratory (MERL) during a multi-yearfertilization experiment (Nixon et al. 1986; Nixon 1992). Natural systems (solid circles) include (1) Scotian shelf - DIN from Houghton et al. (1978), production from Mills & Fournier (1979), (2) Sargasso Sea - DIN from Jenkins (1988), production from Lohrenz et al. (1992) mean of 1989 and 1990 values of 110 and 144 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>, (3) North Sea – DIN from Laane et al. (1993) assuming that the ratio of **DIN/TN** in the input from the Atlantic equals that in the Channel, production from Seitzinger & Giblin (this volume), (4) the Baltic Sea - DIN and production from Ronner (1985), including DIN flux across the halocline, (5) North Central Pacific - DIN from Platt et al. (1984), production from Tupas et al. (1993, 1994) mean of 1992 and 1993 values of 150 and 185 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>, (6) Tomales Bay, CA, DIN and production from Smith (1991), (7) Continental shelf off New York - DIN and production from Walsh et al. (1987), (8) Outer continental shelf off southeastern **U.S.**, **DIN** and production from Verity et al. (1993). (9) Peru upwelling – DIN calculated from annual mean upwelling rate of 0.77 m d<sup>-1</sup> (Guillén & Calienes 1981) and an initial 20 µM concentration of NO<sub>3</sub> in upwelled water (Walsh et al. 1980), production off Chimbote from Guillén & Calienes (1981), (10) Georges Bank – DIN from Walsh et al. (1987). production from O'Reilly et al. (1987). The equation is a functional regression.

al. 1993). As a practical matter, there may be essentially no net exchange of dissolved organic N or P between shelf and slope, and we ignore these fluxes in our budgets.



This discussion of the additions of N and P to the shelf from the slope leads directly to the last term in the shelf budget – the flux of N and P off the shelf. We have already established that the loss of N from the shelf in denitrification and burial exceeds the input from land and **atmosphere** (Table 17), but the onwelling of DIN from the slope is potentially large enough to meet the deficit and leave a large amount of excess N on the shelf. The onwelling of DIP is also much larger than the potential export of terrestrial P from the shelf (Table 17). Since the concentrations of N and P on the North Atlantic shelf as a whole are presumably not increasing (except perhaps in some local areas near shore), we can calculate this last term in the shelf N and P budgets by difference. Before proceeding to do so, however, it is useful to make some effort to estimate the amount of shelf to slope transport that consists of organic N and P in the form of phytoplankton detritus.

#### Export of organic N and P from the shelf

There has been a **considerable** recent effort along the Atlantic Coast of the U.S. to measure the loss of organic matter from the shelf and its possible accumulation in slope sediments. Much of the motivation for this work has come from the suggestion that such burial may be an important **sink** in the global carbon budget (e.g., Walsh et al. 1981, 1985; Walsh 1991). Unfortunately, it is difficult to measure the rate and extent of burial and, as noted by Yoder & Ishimaru (1989), "the magnitude of off-shelf phytoplankton carbon transport, the processes affecting the transport rate and the significance of such processes to global budgets are in dispute .... "For example, while Walsh et al. (1987) estimated that 2.2 moles N  $m^{-2} y^{-1}$  were exported to the slope from the shelf off New York (52% of the N consumed in annual primary production), a more recent budget developed for the same area by Rowe et al. (1988) concluded that there "...was little evidence that substantial organic matter escapes from the continental shelf to the upper continental slope." It is also apparent that the flux of organic matter from the shelf is not uniform. Even in an area thought to be an important export region, such as the slope off Cape Hatteras, NC (USA), measurements have shown highly variable rates of carbon and nitrogen burial over relatively small (150–180 km) distances (DeMaster et al. 1994).

Based on detailed studies of the carbon budget of the mid-slopedeposition center of the Middle Atlantic Bight, Andersonet al. (1994) recently concluded that less than 2% of the shelf primary production could be buried in slope **sediments.** If we assume that an export of 1–2% of primary production might be realistic for the North Atlantic shelf as a whole, then the total primary production of  $76.4 \cdot 10^{12}$  moles C y<sup>-1</sup> estimated by Seitzinger & Giblin (this volume) would give a flux of  $0.76-1.53 \cdot 10^{12}$  moles C y<sup>-1</sup> from the shelf

to the slope. If this is fresh organic matter with a Redfield C:N:P ratio of 106:16:1, there would be an associated loss of  $115-230 \cdot 10^9$  moles of N and  $7-14 \cdot 10^9$  moles of P. This would represent a return to the slope in organic form of about 2–12% of the onwelled DIN and DIP.

#### The Balance of Total N and P on the North Atlantic shelf

The various estimates summarized in Table 19 suggest a picture that is very different from textbook sketches that show N and P from land fertilizing the ocean. Denitrification in estuaries and on the continental shelf appears to return to the atmosphere an amount of N that exceeds the total N input from land and the atmosphere. If the estimates developed by Seitzinger & Giblin (this volume) and in this paper are approximately correct for the North Atlantic as a whole, denitrification also consumes between about 12 and 37% of the DIN brought onto the shelf from the deeper ocean. Overall, our budget suggests that the ocean provides a net flux of about 700–950  $\cdot$  10<sup>9</sup> moles N y<sup>-1</sup> to the North Atlantic shelf (Table 19), an amount approximately equal to the total N input from all other sources combined. If N associated with river sediments buried on the continental slope is included in the balance, the net loss from the ocean would be reduced by about 60  $\cdot$  10<sup>9</sup> moles y<sup>-1</sup>.

The situation with regard to P is quite different. About 70% of the total flux of P from the North Atlantic drainage basin is carried by the five very large rivers that discharge directly on the shelf. Even allowing for the burial of a large amount of this P in the deltas of the rivers and in riverine sediments deposited over the shelf-slope break, the addition of active P from these systems is 2–8 times greater than the removal of P from the shelf in fisheries and the burial of organic matter on the shelf (Table 19). The combination of  $11-19 \cdot 10^9$  moles P that likely escape from estuaries, plus the  $13 \cdot 10^9$  moles of active P from the large rivers provides for a net export of P from the shelf to the ocean that amounts to about  $17.7-30.4 \cdot 10^9$  moles P y<sup>-1</sup> (Table 19). An additional  $20 \cdot 10^9$  moles P y<sup>-1</sup> may reach the ocean but remain buried on the slope.

Since the shelf appears to be such a strong sink for N while allowing P to pass from land to the ocean, there could be a marked reduction in the N/P ratio of water during residence on the shelf. The estimates compiled in Table 19 suggest that the ratio of DIN/DIP exported from the shelf might be somewhere between 7 and 13. However, the large range again emphasizes the very preliminary stage of the shelf budget developed in Table 19. While it is clear that there is a great potential for biogeochemical processes in estuaries and on the shelf to modify greatly the exchange of N and P between land and the ocean, it is also clear that our ability to quantify that influence is still far from satisfying. The next order of business should be to obtain

172

Table 19. A preliminary assessment of the annual mass balance of active N and P on the continental shelf (0–200m) of the North Atlantic Ocean. Units are  $10^9$  moles  $y^{-1}$ . These estimates do not include an additional  $122 \cdot 10^9$  moles  $y^{-1}$  of N and  $40 \cdot 10^9$  moles  $y^{-1}$  of P that are carried by five very large rivers and buried with riverine sediments in deltas and on the continental slope. See text.

	N	Р
Inputs		
Direct atmospheric deposition <sup>1</sup>	133	Very small
Biological nitrogen fixation <sup>2</sup>	$\sim 20$	0
Estuaries <sup>3</sup>	172-335	11-19
Very large rivers <sup>4</sup>	292	. 13
Continental slope <sup>5</sup>	2000-6000	120-370
	2617-6780	144-402
Changes in storage		
Organic matter buried on shelf <sup>6</sup>	19-95	0.4-4.1
Outputs		
Fish landings <sup>7</sup>	27-38	1.2-2.2
Denitrification <sup>8</sup>	1430	0
Continental slope		
As organic detritus9	115-230	7-14
As DIN and DIP <sup>10</sup>	824-5189	124–393

From Table 13.

<sup>2</sup> Prorated on the basis of world shelf rate from Walsh (1988).

From Table 12 minus footnote values.

<sup>4</sup> From Table 1, minus burial in deltas and on the slope (see Table 2).

See Table 18 and Text.

<sup>5</sup> From Table 16.

<sup>7</sup> From Table 15.

<sup>8</sup> From Table 14; includes shallow areas around Greenland and Iceland.

<sup>9</sup> Assuming 1-2% of primary production exported. See text.

 $^{10}$  Calculated by difference. Ranges from maximum input minus minimum losses and vice versa. Thus, total flux to the slope = 1054-5304  $\cdot$  10<sup>9</sup> moles N y<sup>-1</sup> and 138-400  $\cdot$  10<sup>9</sup> moles P y<sup>-1</sup>.

direct measurements of denitrification in a variety of shelf environments, to improve our estimates of P burial, and to extend our knowledge of shelf-slope exchanges to additional areas. There is also great room for improvement in our understanding of the amount and fate of N and P associated with the sediments carried by the large rivers. 174

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to David Brock, Kurt Nielsen, and Carl Cerco for providing unpublished data and calculations and to Mike Kemp, Bjorn Sundby, and Ivan Valiela for helpful review comments. Preparation of the manuscript was supported by the R. I. Sea Grant College Program.

#### References

- Alber M & Chan AB (1994) Sources of contaminants to Boston Harbor: Revised loading estimates. Report to Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, Boston, MA. Tech. Rept. No. 94–1
- Aller JY & Aller RC (1986) General characteristics of benthic faunas on the Amazon inner continental shelf with comparison to the shelf off the Changjiang River, East China Sea. Cont. Shelf Res. 6: 291–310
- Andersen JM (1974) Nitrogen and phosphorus budgets and the role of sediments in six shallow Danish lakes. Arch. Hydrobiol. 74(4): 528–550
- Anderson RF, Rowe GT, Kemp PF, Trumbore S & Biscaye PE (1994) Carbon budget for the mid-slope depocenter of the Middle Atlantic Bight. Deep-Sea Res. II 41(2/3): 669–703
- Balls PW (1994) Nutrient inputs to estuaries from nine Scottish East coast Rivers; influence of estuarine processes on inputs to the North Sea. Est. Coast. Shelf Sci. 39(4): 329–352
- Berner RA (1982) Burial of organic carbon and pyrite sulfur in the modern ocean: Its geochemical and environmental significance. Amer. J. of Sci. 282: 451–473
- Biggs RB & Beasley EL (1988) Bottom and suspended sediments in the Delaware River and Estuary. In: Majumdar SK, Miller EW & Sage LE (Eds) Ecology and Restoration of the Delaware River Basin (pp 116–131). The Penn. Acad. of Sci., Philadelphia, PA
- Billen G & Servais P (1991) Modélisation du transport de pollutants par l'estuaire de l'Escant, Cas du Phosphore. In Unité de Gestion du Modèle Mathématique de la Mer du Nord et de L'Estuaire de 'Escaut, Ministère de la Santé Publique et de l'Environment. Buxelles, Belgique. 51 p
- Billen G, Somville M, De Becker E & Servais P (1985) A nitrogen budget of the Scheldt hydrographical basin. Neth. J. Sea Res. 19(3/4): 223–230
- Billen G, DeBecker E, Servais P, Lancelot C & Rousseau V (1990) Modèle mathématique de l'estuaire de l'Escaut. Développement d'un algorithme fournissant les conditions-limites amont (Modèle STRAHLER) au mod le de dégradation de la matière organique dans l'estuaire (Modèle MODESTY). Int. Rep. Unité de Gestion du Modèle Mathématique de la Mer du Nord et de l'Estuaire de l'Escaut. Réf. BH/88/26
- Blackburn TH (1991) Accumulation and regeneration: Processes at the benthic boundary layer. In: Mantoura RFC, Martin JM & Wollast R (Eds) Ocean Margin Processes in Global Change (pp 181–195). Dahlem Workshop Reports, Wiley & Sons
- Bothner MH & Bacon MP (1987) Natural radionuclides. In: Backus RH & Bourne DW (Eds) Georges Bank (pp 185–189). MIT Press, Cambridge, MA
- Bothner MH, Spiker EC, Johnson PP, Rendigs RR & Aruscavage PJ (1981) Geochemical evidence for modern sediment accumulation on the continental shelf off southern New England. J. Sed. Petr. 51(1): 281–292
- Boynton WR, Garber JH, Summers R & Kemp WM (1995) Inputs, transformations and transport of nitrogen and phosphorus in Chesapeake Bay and selected tributaries. Estuaries 18(1B): 285–314
- Brock DA, Matsumoto J & Boyd N (1995) A nitrogen budget for the Guadalupe Estuary, Texas, over a range of freshwater inflow. Submitted to Contrib. in Mar. Sci.

- Bugden GL (1981) Salt and heat budgets for the Gulf of St. Lawrence. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 38: 1153–1167
- Canfield DE (1989) Sulfate reduction and oxic respiration in marine sediments: implications for organic carbon preservation in euxinic environments. Deep Sea Res. 36: 121–138
- Caraco N, Cole J & Likens GE (1990) A comparison of phosphorus immobilization in sediments of freshwater and coastal marine systems. Biogeochem. 9: 277–290
- Cerco C & Cole T (1992) Application of the three-dimensional eutrophication model. CE-QUAL-ICM to Chesapeake Bay. Draft Technical Report. U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MI
- Christensen JP, Murray JW, Devol AH & Codispoti LA (1987) Denitrification in continental shelf sediments has major impact on the oceanic nitrogen budget. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 1(2): 97–116
- Cifuentes LA (1991) Spatial and temporal variations in terrestrially-derived organic matter from sediments of the Delaware Estuary. Estuaries 14(4): 414–429
- Cornell S, Rendell A & Jickells T (1995) Atmospheric inputs of dissolved organic nitrogen to the oceans. Nature 376: 243–246
- Csanady GT (1990) Physical basis of coastal productivity: The SEEP and MASAR experiments. EOS 71(36): 1060-1065
- Czaya E (1981) Rivers of the World. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company
- Degobbis D, Gilmartin M & Revelante N (1986) An annotated nitrogen budget calculation for the northern Adriatic Sea. Mar. Chem. 20: 159–177
- DeMaster DJ, Pope RH, Levin LA & Blair NE (1994) Biological mixing intensity and rates of organic carbon accumulation in North Carolina slope sediments. Deep-Sea Res. II 41(4–6): 735–753
- Devol AH, Richey JE & Forsberg BR (1991) Phosphorus in the Amazon mainstem: Concentrations, forms and transport to the ocean. In: Tiessen H, Lopez-Hernandez D & Salcedo IH (Eds) Phosphorus Cycles in Terrestrial and Aquatic Systems. Regional workshop 3: South and Central America. Proceedings of a workshop arranged by SCOPE and UNEP. Saskatchewan Institute of Pedology, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan
- Duce RA, Liss PS, Merrill JT, Atlas EL, Buat-Menard P, Hicks BB, Miller JM, Prospero JM, Arimoto R, Church TM, Ellis W, Galloway JN, Hansen L, Jickells TD, Knap AH, Reinhardt KH, Schneider B, Soudine A, Tokos JJ, Tsunogai S, Wollast R & Zhou M (1991) The atmospheric input of trace species to the world ocean. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 5: 193–259
- Edmond JM, Boyle EA, Grant B & Stallard RF (1981) The chemical mass balance in the Amazon plume I: The nutrients. Deep-Sea Res. 28A(11): 1339–1374
- Eisma D (1981) Supply and deposition of suspended matter in the North Sea. In: Nio S, Shüttenhelm R & van Weering Tj (Eds) Holocene Marine Sedimentation in the North Sea Basin. Spec. Pub. Int. Ass. Sedimentologists, No. 5 (pp 415–428). Blackwell Scientific Publishers., Oxford, UK
- Eisma D (1988) An introduction to the geology of continental shelves. In: Postma H & Zijlstra JJ (Eds) Continental Shelves. Ecosystems of the World, Vol. 27 (pp 39–89). Elsevier, NY
- Elmgren R (1984) Trophic dynamics in the enclosed, brackish Baltic Sea. Rapp. P.-v. Reun. Cons. int. Explor. Mer. 183: 152–169
- Emery KO, Orr WL & Rittenberg SC (1955) Nutrient budgets in the ocean. In: Essays in the Natural Sciences (pp 299–309). Univ. of Southern California Press, Los Angeles
- FAO (1993) FAO Yearbook 1991. Fishery Statistics: Catches and Landings, Vol. 72. FAO Fisheries Series No. 40. FAO, Rome. 653 p
- Froelich PN (1988) Kinetic control of dissolved phosphate in natural rivers and estuaries: A primer on the phosphate buffer mechanism. Limnol. Oceanogr. 33(4, part 2): 649–668
- (GESAMP) (1987) Land/sea boundary flux of contaminants: Contributions from rivers. Reports and Studies No. 32

- Giblin AE, Hopkinson C & Tucker J (1993) Metabolism, nutrient cycling and denitrification in Boston Harbor and Massachusetts Bay sediments. Report to Massachusetts Water Resource Authority, Boston, MA
- Guillén O & Calienes R (1981) Upwelling off Chimbote. In: Řichards FA (Ed) Coastal Upwelling. Coastal and Estuarine Sciences 1 (pp 312–316). American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC
- HELCOM (Baltic Marine Environment Protection Commission Helsinki Comission) (1993) Second Baltic Sea pollution load compilation. Baltic Sea Environment Proceedings 45: 1–161
- Hendry CD & Brezonik PL (1980) Chemistry of precipitation at Gainesville, Florida. Environ. Sci. Technol. 14(7): 843–849
- Henrichs SM & Reeburgh WS (1987) Anaerobic mineralization of marine sediment organic matter: Rates and the role of anaerobic processes in the oceanic carbon economy. Geomicrobiol. J. 5(3-4): 191-237
- Hopkinson CS (1985) Shallow-water benthic and pelagic metabolism: Evidence for heterotrophy in the nearshore Georgia Bight, Mar. Biol. 87: 19–32
- Houghton RW, Smith PC & Fournier RO (1978) A simple model for cross-shelf mixing on the Scotian Shelf. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 35: 414–421
- Howarth RW, Jensen HS, Marino R & Postma H (1995) Transport to and processing of P in near-shore and oceanic waters. In: Tiessen H (Ed) Phosphorus in the Global Environment: Transfers, Cycles and Management (pp 323–345). SCOPE 54. Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK
- Howarth RW, Billen G, Swaney D, Townsend A, Jaworski N, Downing JA, Elmgren R, Caraco N & Lajtha K (1995) Regional nitrogen budgets and riverine N & P fluxes for the drainages to the North Atlantic Ocean: Natural and human influences. (This volume)
- Ingall ED & Van Cappellen P (1990) Relation between sedimentation rate and burial of organic phosphorus and organic carbon in marine sediments. Geochim. et. Cosmochim. Acta 54: 373–386
- Iselin CO'D (1939) Some physical factors which may influence the productivity of New England's coastal waters. J. Mar. Res. 2: 75-85
- Jahnke RA (1992) The phosphorus cycle. In: Butcher SS, Charlson RJ, Orians GH & Wolfe GV (Eds) Global Biogeochem. Cycles. (pp 301–313). Academic Press
- Jaworski N & Howarth RW (In press) Preliminary estimates of the pollutant loads and fluxes into the northeast shelf LME. In: Sherman K (Ed) The Northeast Shelf Large Marine Ecosystem. NOAA
- Jenkins WJ (1988) Nitrate flux into the eutrophic zone near Bermuda. Nature 331: 521-523
- Johnstone J (1908) Conditions of Life in the Sea. Cambridge University Press. Reprinted by Arno Press, New York. 1977. 332 p
- Kelly CA, Rudd JM, Hesslein RH, Schindler DW, Dillon PJ, Driscoll CT, Gherini SA & Hecky RE (1987) Prediction of biological acid neutralization in acid-sensitive lakes. Biogeochem. 3: 129–140
- Kelly JR (1991) Nutrients and Massachusetts Bay: A synthesis of eutrophication issues. Report prepared for Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, Boston, MA

Kelly JR & Nowicki BL (1992) Sediment denitrification in Boston Harbor. Report to Massachusetts Water Resource Authority, Boston, MA

Kirchner WB & Dillon PJ (1975) An empirical method of estimating the retention of phosphorus in lakes. Wat. Resour. Res. 11: 1881–182

- Kuehl SA, DeMaster DJ & Nittrouer CA (1986) Nature of sediment accumulation on the Amazon continental shelf. Contin. Shelf Res. 6: 209–225
- Laane RWPM, Groeneveld G, deVries A, van Bennekom J & Sydow S (1993) Nutrients (P, N, Si) in the Channel and the Dover Strait: seasonal and year-to-year variation and fluxes to the North Sea. Oceanologica Acta 16(5–6): 607–616

176



- Larsson U (1986) The Baltic Sea. In: Rosenberg R (Ed) Eutrophication in Marine Waters SurroundingSweden–A Review (pp 16–70). The National Swedish Environmental Protection Board. Report 3054, Solna, Sweden
- Larsson U, Elmgren R & Wulff F (1985) Eutrophication and the Baltic Sea causes and consequences. Ambio 14: 9–14
- Lewis JrWM & Saunders III JF (1989) Concentration and transport of dissolved and suspended substances in the Orinoco River. Biogeochem. 7: 203–240
- Lohrenz SE, Knauer GA, Asper VL, Tuel M, Michaels AF & Knap AH (1992) Seasonal and interannual variability in primary production and particle flux in the northwestemSargasso Sea: U.S. JGOFS Bermuda Atlantic Time-seriesStudy. Deep-SeaRes. 39: 1373–1391
- Lohse L, Malschaert JFP, Slomp CP, Helder W & van Raaphorst W (1995) Sediment-water fluxesof inorganic nitrogen compounds along the transport route of organic matter in the North Sea. **Ophelia** 41: 173–197
- Longley WL (Ed) (1994) Freshwaterinflows to Texas Bays and estuaries: Ecological relationships and methods for determination of needs. Texas Water DevelopmentBoard and Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, Austin, TX. 386 p
- Mayer LM (1994) Surface area control of organic carbon accumulation in continental shelf sediments. Geochem. Cosmochem, Acta 58: 1271–1284
- Meade RH (1972) Sources and sinks of suspendedrnatteron continental shelves. In: Swift D, Duane D & Pilkey O (Eds) Shelf Sediment Transport: Process and Pattern (pp 249–260). Dowden, Hutchinson & Ross Publishers, Stroudsburg, PA
- Menzel DW, Pomeroy LR, Lee TN, Blanton JO & Alexander CR (1993) Introduction. In: Menzel DW (Ed) Ocean Processes: U.S. Southeast Continental Shelf (pp 1–8). U.S. Departmentof Energy, Office of Scientific and Technical Information, Oak Ridge, TN
- Meybeck M (1982) Carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus transport by world rivers. Amer. J. Sci. 282: 401–450
- Michaels A, Olson D, **Sarmiento J**, **Ammerman** J, Fanning **K**, **Jahnke** R, Knap A, **Lipschultz** F & Prospero J (1995) Inputs, losses and transformations of nitrogen in the deep North Atlantic Ocean. (**This** volume)
- Milliman JD (1994) Organic matter content in U.S. Atlantic continental slope sediments: decoupling the grain size factor. Deep-Sea Res. II, 4: 797–808
- Milliman JD & Syvitski JPM (1992) Geomorphic/tectonic control of sediment discharge to the ocean: The importance of small mountainous rivers. The Journ. of Geol. 100: 525–544
- Mills EL & Fournier RO (1979) Fish production and the marine ecosystem of the Scotian Shelf, eastern Canada. Mar. Biol. 54: 101–108
- Mulholland PJ (1981) Deposition of riverborne organic carbon in floodplain wetlands and deltas. In: Carbon Dioxide Effects Research and AssessmentProgram: Flux of Organic Carbon by Rivers to the Oceans, Report of a Workshop. United States Department of Energy
- Nielsen K, Nielsen LP & Rasmussen P (1995) Estuarine nitrogen retention independently estimated by the denitrification and mass balance methods: a study of Norsminde Fjord, Denmark. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 119: 275–283
- Nixon SW (1988) Physical energy inputs and the comparative ecology of lake and marine ecosystems. Limnol. Oceanogr. 33(4, part 2): 1005–1025
- Nixon SW (1992) Quantifying the relationship between nitrogen input and the productivity of marine ecosystems. Proc. Adv. Mar. Tech. Conf. 5: 57–83
- Nixon SW & Lee V (1986) Wetlands and water quality: A regional review of recent research in the United States on the role of freshwater and saltwater wetlands as sources, sinks, and transformers of nitrogen, phosphorus, and various heavy metals. U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS. Tech. Report No. Y–86–2.229 p
- Nixon SW, Granger SL & Nowicki BL (1995) An assessment of the annual mass balance of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorusin Narragansett Bay. Biogeochemistry 31: 15-61
- Nixon SW, Oviatt CA, Frithsen J & Sullivan B<sup>*i*</sup>(1986) Nutrients and the productivity of estuarine and coastal marine ecosystems. J. Limnol. Soc. sth. Afr. 12(1/2): 43–71

Nixon SW, Granger SL, Taylor DI, Johnson PW & Buckley BA (1994) Subtidal volume fluxes, nutrient inputs and the Brown Tide – an alternate hypothesis. Est. Coast. Shelf Sci. 39: 303–312

NOAA/EPA (Quinn H, Tolson JP, Klein CJ, Paul Orlando S & Alexander C (1989) Strategic assessment of near coastal waters. Susceptibility of East Coast estuaries to nutrient discharges: Passamaquoddy Bay to Chesapeake Bay. Summary Report, Strategic Assessment Branch, NOS/NOAA, Rockville, MD. 37 p

- Nowicki BL & Oviatt CA (1990) Are estuaries traps for anthropogenic nutrients? Evidence from estuarine mesocosms. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 66: 131–146
- O'Reilly JE, Evans-Zetlin C & Busch DA (1987) Primary production. In: Backus RH & Bourne DW (Eds) Georges Bank (pp 220–233). MIT Press, Cambridge, MA

Paerl HW (1995) Coastal eutrophication in relation to atmospheric nitrogen deposition: Current perspectives. Ophelia 41: 237–259

Pilson MEQ (1970) Water balance in California sea lions. Physiol. Zool. 43(4): 257-269

Pilson MEQ (1985) On the residence time of water in Narragansett Bay. Estuaries 8: 2-14

Pilson MEQ & Seitzinger S (1995) Areas of shallow water in the North Atlantic (This volume) Platt T, Lewis M & Geider R (1984) Thermodynamics of the pelagic ecosystem: Elementary closure conditions for biological production in the open ocean. In: Fasham MJR (Ed) Flows of Energy and Materials in Marine Ecosystems: Theory and Practice (pp 49–84). Plenum Press, NY

Polis DF & Kupferman SL (1973) Physical characteristics of the Delaware Estuary. Trans. Del. Acad. of Sci. – 1970/1971: 163–180. Del. Acad. of Sci., Newark, DE

Pomeroy LR, Blanton JO, Poffenhofer G-A, Von Damm KL, Verity PG, Windom HL & Lee TN (1993) Chapter 2, inner shelf processes. In: Menzel DW (Ed) Ocean Processes: U.S. Southeast Continental Shelf (pp 9–43). U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Scientific and Technical Information, Oak Ridge, TN

Prospero J et al. (1995) Atmospheric deposition of nutrients to the North Atlantic Basin (This volume)

Richey JE & Victoria RL (1993) C, N, and P export dynamics in the Amazon River. In: Wollast R, Mackenzie FT & Chou L (Eds) Interactions of C, N, P and S Biogeochemical Cycles and Global Change. NATO ASI Series 1, Vol. 4 (pp 123–139). Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg

Rigler FH & Peters RH (1995) Science and Limnology, vol. 6 In Kinne O (Ed) Excellence in Ecology, Ecology Institute (p 239). Oldendorf/Luhe, Germany

Rönner U (1985) Nitrogen transformations in the Baltic Proper: Denitrification counteracts eutrophication. AMBIO 14: 134–138

Rowe GT, Theroux R, Phoel W, Quinby H, Wilke R, Koschoreck D, Whitledge TE, Falkowski PG & Fray C (1988) Benthic carbon budgets for the continental shelf south of New England. Cont. Shelf Res. 8(5–7): 511–527

Scudlark JR & Church TM (1994) Atmospheric input ofinorganic nitrogen to Delaware Bay. Estuaries 16(4): 747–759

Seitzinger SP (1987) Nitrogen biogeochemistry in an unpolluted estuary: The importance of benthic denitrification. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 37: 65–73

Seitzinger SP (1988a) Benthic nutrient cycling and oxygen consumption in the Delaware Estuary. In: Majumdar SK, Miller EW & Sage LE (Eds) Ecology and Restoration of the Delaware River Basin (pp 132–147). Penn. Acad. of Sci.

Seitzinger SP (1988b) Denitrification in freshwater and coastal marine ecosystems: Ecological and geochemical significance. Limnol. Oceanogr. 33(4, part 2): 702–724

Seitzinger SP & Giblin AE (1995) Estimating denitrification in North Atlantic continental shelf sediments (This volume)

Shaffer G & Rönner U (1984) Denitrification in the Baltic proper deep water. Deep-Sea Res. 31: 197–220

Showers WJ & Angle DG (1986) Stable isotopic characterization of organic carbon accumulation on the Amazon continental shelf. Cont. Shelf Res. 6: 227–244

178

Signell RP & Butman B (1992) Modeling tidal exchange and dispersion in Boston Harbor. J.

Smith SV (1991) Stoichiometry of C:N:P fluxes in shallow-water marine ecosystems. In: Cole J, Lovett G & Findlay S (Eds) Comparative Analyses of Ecosystems - Patterns,

Mechanisms, and Theories (pp 259-286). Springer-Verlag, New York Smith SV & Hollibaugh JT (1993) Coastal metabolism and the oceanic organic carbon balance.

Smullen JT, Taft J & Macknis J (1982) Nutrient and sediment loads to the tidal Chesapeake Bay system. In: Macalaster EG, Barker DA & Kasper M (Eds) Chesapeake Bay Program

Technical Studies: A Synthesis (pp 147-262). U.S. E. P. A., Washington, DC Solati E, Sylvester-Bradley R & Victoria RL (1982) Regional gains and losses of nitrogen in

the Amazon basin. Plant and Soil 67: 367-376 Stommel H & Leetmaa A (1972) Circulation on the continental shelf. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.

Strom RN (1976) Phosphorus fractionation in estuarine and marsh sediments. Ph.D. Thesis in

Swedish Environment Protection Agency (1993) Eutrophication of soil, freshwater and sea.

Swedish Environment Protection Agency, Report 4134: 1-199 (In Swedish) Swift DJP, Young RA, Clarke TL, Vincent CE, Niedoroda A & Lesht B (1981) Sediment transport in the Middle Atlantic Bight of North America: Synopsis of recent observations.

Trefry JH, Metz S, Nelsen TA, Trocine RP & Eadie BJ (1994) Transport of particulate organic

carbon by the Mississippi River and its fate in the Gulf of Mexico. Estuaries: 17: 839-849 Tupas L, Santiago-Mandujano F, Hebel D, Lukas R, Karl D & Firing E (1993) Hawaii Ocean

Time-series Data Report 4, 1992. SOEST Technical Report 93-14, University of Hawaii,

Tupas L, Santiago-Mandujano F, Hebel D, Firing E, Bingham F, Lukas R & Karl D (1994) Hawaii Ocean Time-series Data Report 5, 1993. SOEST Technical Report 94-5, University

Turner RE & Rabalais NN (1991) Changes in Mississippi River water quality this century.

Twichell DC, McClennen CE & Butman B (1981) Morphology and processes associated with the accumulation of the fine-grained sediment deposit on the southern New England shelf.

UNESCO (1992) Discharge of Selected Rivers of the World, 1980-1984, vol. 3, part 5.

van Andel TH & Postma H (1954) Recent sediments of the Gulf of Paria: Verh. Konik. Nedesl.

Akad. van Wetenschappen, D. Natuurkunde, Series I(20): 1-240 van Weering TjCE (1981) Recent sediments and sediment transport in the northern North Sea:

surface sediments of the Skagerrak. In: Nio S, Shüttenhelm R & van Weering Tj (Eds) Halocene Marine Sedimentation in the North Sea Basin. Spec. Pub. Int. Ass. Sedimentol-

ogists, No. 5 (pp 335–359). Blackwell Sci. Pub., Oxford, UK Verity PG, Lee TN, Yoder JA, Paffenhofer GA, Blanton JO & Alexander CR (1993) Chapter 3, outer shelf processes. In: Menzel DW (Ed) Ocean Processes: U.S. Southeast Continental

Shelf (pp 45-74). U.S. Dep. of Energy, Office of Sci. Tech. Inf., 11674 Vinogradov AP (1953) The elementary chemical composition of marine organisms. Memoir

Sears Foundation for Marine Research No. 11. New Haven: Yale Univ. Press. 677 p Walsh JJ (1983) Death in the sea: Enigmatic phytoplankton losses. Prog. Oceanogr. 12: 1-86

Walsh JJ (1988) On the Nature of Continental Shelves. Acad. Press, Inc. 520 p Walsh JJ (1991) Importance of continental margins in the marine biogeochemical cycling of

Walsh JJ, Whitledge TE, Esaias WE, Smith RL, Huntsman SA, Santander H & de Mendiola BR (1980) The spawning habitat of the Peruvian anchovy Engraulis ringens. Deep-Sea

Res. 27: 1–27

Walsh JJ, Rowe GT, Iverson RL & McRoy CP (1981) Biological export of shelf carbon is a neglected sink of the global CO<sub>2</sub> cycle. Nature 291: 196–201

- Walsh JJ, Whitledge TE, O'Reilly JE, Phoel WC & Draxler AF (1987) Nitrogen cycling on Georges Bank and the New York Shelf: A comparison between well-mixed and seasonally stratified waters. In: Backus RH (Ed) Georges Bank (pp 234–246). MIT Press, Cambridge, MA
- Walsh JJ, Whitledge TE, Barvenik FW, Wirick CD, Howe SO, Esaias WE & Scott JT (1978) Wind events and food chain dynamics within the New York Bight. Limnol. Oceanogr. 23: 659–683
- Walsh JJ, Premuzic ET, Gaffney JS, Rowe GT, Balsam W, Harbottle G, Stoenner RW, Betzer PR & Macko SA (1985) Storage of CO<sub>2</sub> as organic carbon on the continental slopes off the mid-Atlantic Bight, southeastern Bering Sea, and the Peru coast. Deep-Sea Res. 32: 853–883
- Weil CB (1977) Sediments, structural framework, and evolution of Delaware Bay, a transgressive estuarine delta. U. of Del. Sea Grant Tech. Rep., DEL-SG-4-77, 199 p
- Wollast R (1993) Interactions of carbon and nitrogen cycles in the coastal zone. In: Wollast R, Mackenzie FT & Chou L (Eds) Interactions of C, N, P and S Biogeochemical Cycles and Global Change. NATO ASI Series 1: Global Environmental Change, Vol. 4 (pp 195–210). Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg

Wulff F & Stigebrandt A (1989) A time-dependent budget model for nutrients in the Baltic Sea. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 3: 63-78

Wulff F, Rahm L & Rodriguez-Medina M (1994) Long-term and regional variations of nutrients in the Baltic Sea: 1972–1991. Finnish Mar. Res. 262: 35–50

Yoder JA & Ishimaru T (1989) Phytoplankton advection off the southeastern United States continental shelf. Cont. Shelf Res. 9(6): 547-553